

APPENDIX A

Summary of information received:

Ref	Source	Description /Content	Assessment of Evidence
1.	Mr Iddeson and Ms Nicholls – residents of Grantham	<p>Letter explaining:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mother lived adjacent to the site for 13 years in the 1970's and 80's</li> <li>• No recollection of alterations to the access</li> <li>• Passed the access every day for work purposes</li> <li>• Access not altered between 1972 and 1977</li> </ul>	<p>This information is based on a recollection only and is considered to be imprecise as it discusses the 'alteration of the access' rather than its construction. which is the issue in question. Also, the statement is that the access was "never altered in the slightest between 1972 and 1977" but provides no information on when it was constructed.</p>
2.	Mrs P Green – resident of Wycomb	<p>Letter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has lived locally for 53 years including at Landyke Lane</li> <li>• To the best of her knowledge, the access, road, toilet block and landscaping have not taken place</li> <li>• Is a JP and Member of the Parish Council</li> </ul>	<p>This letter does not discuss the issue of the access, but makes the statement that "no development took place and the facilities referred to in the certificate of lawful use were not constructed in any way."</p> <p>This statement is incorrect as the access and one of the toilet blocks was also constructed at some stage (both are present on the site although the latter was not relied on in the determination of the application, in fact it was dismissed as not relevant) as such the content of this information requires caution.</p> <p>No periods of time have been identified in the letter.</p> <p>These details are inconclusive and it is qualified as being "to the best of my knowledge and belief", raising the possibility that works may have taken place that the author was not aware of.</p>
3.	Mr and Mrs	Letter:	

	Haynes – residents of Goadby Marwood	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Have lived in the area all of their lives</li> <li>• Passed the site once a week</li> <li>• Do not recall any work taking place between 1972 and 1977</li> </ul>	<p>This is a recollection, rather than a statement of fact and has limited reliability as a result raising the possibility that works may have taken place that the author was not aware of. The recollection is based on passing the site weekly and this raises concerns how the author is able to comment on what has taken place on the site due the limited visibility into the site available from the road.</p> <p>Their recollection is helpful in that it identifies that no works took place at the site between 1972 and 1977, the critical time period for works to have been started.</p>
4.	Mr and Mrs Mumford - - residents of Scalford	<p>Letter, sworn as true and signed in presence of a JP</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Have lived opposite the access since 1979 and passed it twice daily since</li> <li>• Access was overgrown when they moved in</li> <li>• Work was commenced on the access in the early 1990's</li> </ul>	<p>This is a sworn statement and as such carries with it the same weight to that of the applicant in the application.</p> <p>Confirmation that the access was there when they commenced living at the property opposite the site in 1979, although it was overgrown and dilapidated, does not shed any light on the time it was constructed</p> <p>Confirmation that works to improve the access commenced in the early 1990's is consistent with other accounts.</p>
A5.	Mr C Willars	<p>Letter, sworn as true and signed in presence of a JP</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is familiar with the site as had day to day management responsibility since mid 1970's</li> <li>• No works were carried out to prepare the site for use as a caravan site</li> <li>• No works were carried out to the toilet block</li> <li>• The access was constructed in 1990</li> <li>• No landscaping works have been carried out</li> <li>• The fencing was erected for</li> </ul>	<p>This is a sworn statement and as such carries with it the same weight to that of the applicant in the application.</p> <p>The author has an intimate knowledge of the site, in that they were employed as a managing agent for the applicant and was responsible for the management of the site.</p> <p>The statement that “no works have been carried out to the toilet block” is incorrect as one of the proposed toilet blocks exists on the site (constructed in accordance with a plan on an earlier planning permission. Although no date is known for its construction, it is known to have been constructed prior to the end of the authors employment by the landowner).</p> <p>The recollection of works to construct the access circa 1990, corroborates other</p>

		security purposes.	submissions that indicate works were undertaken to it in the same (or similar) date, but contradicts others who state the access was present before this date (e.g. no.4 above, 9 below)
6.	Mrs V Percival	<p>Letter, sworn as true and signed in presence of a JP</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Resident of scalford for 39 years.</li> <li>• Is a keen walker in the area</li> <li>• Recalls the access gateway being built in the 1990's</li> <li>• Recalls this because she accompanied a friend looking at wildflowers</li> </ul>	<p>This is a sworn statement and as such carries with it the same weight to that of the applicant in the application.</p> <p>The statement that access gateway was constructed in 1990's corroborates per other submissions that state it was not undertaken in the 1970's</p>
7.	Mr J Pick	<p>Letter, sworn as true and signed in presence of a JP</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has lived in Scalford all his life</li> <li>• Was involved in farming west of then Brickworks site since 1947 up to 150 yards of the site</li> <li>• No knowledge of construction work taking place before 1990.</li> </ul>	<p>This is a sworn statement and as such carries with it the same weight to that of the applicant in the application.</p> <p>The statement that 1990 is the earliest date for commencement of "any kind" of construction works taking place on the site other submissions. However, the presence of the toilet block appears to conflict with this statement.</p>
8.	Mr Goodson	<p>Letter, sworn as true and signed in presence of a JP</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Resident of Eastwell since 1968</li> <li>• Passed the site weekly or more frequently</li> <li>• Saw no change to the access until kerbs were put in during the 1990's and gates after 2000.</li> </ul>	<p>This is a sworn statement and as such carries with it the same weight to that of the applicant in the application.</p> <p>This submission states that the access was kerbed in the early 1990s, does not state clarify whether or not access was present prior to 1990 or when it was constructed.</p>
9.	Mrs T Pedlar	<p>Letter, sworn as true and signed in presence of a JP</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has lived within 1 mile of the site</li> </ul>	<p>This is a sworn statement and as such carries with it the same weight to that of the applicant in the application.</p>

		<p>since 1968</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has clear recollections of the site in the 1970's and 80's</li> <li>• Was part of the Belvoir Hunt which accessed the site in the 1970's and 80's.</li> <li>• The access to the suite was used by the hunt</li> <li>• The site was derelict and becoming overgrown in the 1970's and 80's</li> <li>• The gateway was altered later, in the 1990's, comprising is increase in size, surfacing and coping stones being installed.</li> </ul>	<p>The description that in late 1970's and 1980's the access did not have the edging stones and fencing/gates as exist now and that they were introduced in the 1990's accords with several other statements.</p> <p>The absence of recollection of the toilet block on the site conflicts with its' existence on the site</p>
10.	Mrs J Goodson	<p>Letter, sworn as true and signed in presence of a JP</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Resident of Eastwell since 1968</li> <li>• Passed the site weekly or more frequently</li> <li>• Saw no change to the access until kerbs were put in during the 1990's and gates after 2000.</li> </ul>	<p>This is a sworn statement and as such carries with it the same weight to that of the applicant in the application.</p> <p>That there was no kerbed access into the site in 1968 accords with other statements. The kerbing of access carried out in 1990's is also per other submissions.</p>
11.	J Parrott	<p>Letter, sworn as true and signed in presence of a JP</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Farmed land in Landyke Lane since 1968</li> <li>• Has uses the stretch of Eastwell Rd for 43 years</li> <li>• No work was carried out to the access until 1992</li> </ul>	<p>This is a sworn statement and as such carries with it the same weight to that of the applicant in the application.</p> <p>The statement that "no work carried out to the access from 10 December 1968 to 1992" is a very clear statement that challenges the application and follows the submissions of some other letters.</p>

12.	Mr A Brewin	<p>Letter, sworn as true and signed in presence of a JP</p> <p>Photograph of the site access as it is now</p> <p>Sales particulars for 'The Cottage' Eastwell Rd dating from 1979</p> <p>Photographs of the buildings in the site</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 'The Cottage' was sold in July 1979</li> <li>• Owners retained 4 fields around the site and sold them to the Hill Trust in 1990</li> <li>• A new access was formed in one to give access to large machinery</li> <li>• A further new field access was given permission in 1991 and was built , and only AFTER this kerbs and access provided to the Old Brickworks site</li> <li>• The photographs show the old gate to the brickworks which were present until 2007. These and a new post and rail fence, are the only works that have taken place.</li> </ul>	<p>This is a sworn statement and as such carries with it the same weight to that of the applicant in the application.</p> <p>The recollection that the kerbs and access to the disused brickworks not commenced until 18 months/2years following permission for an access into another field in 1991 is a similar date line to other submissions.</p>
13.	J Pick	<p>Letter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has lived in Scalford since 1930</li> <li>• Involved in farming on the west side of the site since 1947</li> <li>• No knowledge of any works taking place before 1990</li> </ul>	<p>The letter states that the author has no knowledge of any construction work of any kind ever taking place off the Eastwell Road before 1990. This is similar to other submissions although it does not detail what construction works referred to. However, the presence of the toilet block appears to conflict with this statement.</p> <p>This information is of limited precision and is therefore inconclusive.</p>
14.	Mr B Bryan	<p>Letter and e-mail:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has lived at The Manor , Eastwell</li> </ul>	<p>The letter states that the author has personal knowledge of the site, having often</p>

		<p>rd since 1966.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drove past the site almost daily throughout the 1970's</li> <li>• Visited the site with children from 1966 through the 1970's</li> <li>• No work was done to the access during this period</li> <li>• There was major reconstruction of it in the late 1980's</li> </ul>	<p>visited the site from 1966 through the 1970's.</p> <p>His recollection that the access "was not constructed in the 1970's" and that "nor was any alteration done to the entrance" until the end of the 1980's is clear and places the works earlier than the majority of the submissions, although puts the works into a similar time frame, and outside the 1972 -1977 period that is critical to the application..</p>
15.	Mrs E Bryan	<p>Letters and an e-mail:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• From 1979 and during the early 1980's was an MBC Councillor for Scalford.</li> <li>• During that time there was no indication of any work carried out and it was in the same condition as it was when the army left it.</li> <li>• A new gate was installed in the late 1980's or early 1990's</li> <li>• Another new gate and an emphasis to the entrance has taken place since 2000.</li> </ul>	<p>These are letters of recollection of when works to the access were made.</p> <p>There is no statement of a direct recollection of the site during the 1970's although this could be inferred from the statement that the site remained in the same condition since the vacation of the army, but no date is specified. This statement conflicts with the presence of the toilet block on the site.</p> <p>The time line for the new gate is similar to other recollections, although does not relate specifically to the construction of an access.</p> <p>These letters are considered to be lacking in precision and are therefore inconclusive.</p>
16.	Mr M Powderly	<p>Letters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Was Local Government Officer in 1970 and recall an application for holiday caravans on the site in 1972</li> <li>• Worked for Melton BC from 1973.</li> <li>• In 1974 was shown the site by the Chief Executive and told nothing had been done to it since</li> </ul>	<p>These are letters of recollection of visits made to the site during time as the Local Authority Chief Planning Officer.</p> <p>The author recalls visiting the site in 1974 as part of his duties as the Borough Planning Officer</p> <p>The statement that involvement with the Agents for the site in 2005 when asked for his opinion if there had been a "credible start of development" and that it is "abundantly clear that the site had lain dormant since 1972 permission" is a clear statement of his understanding .</p>

		<p>1972.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In the early 1980's the site was considered as a possible nature reserve. By this time no start had been made</li> <li>• In 2005 the site was visited on behalf of the site owner's agent and photographs taken (not supplied).It was clear the site had laid dormant since 1972.</li> <li>• Surfacing of some 100 sq metres across the dedicated highway's grass verge to the site gate took place was 50 years ago. It could have been coincident with the grant of permission in 1972 as it was there in 1974.</li> <li>• There was an access into the old brickyard in the same position previously.</li> <li>• All that happened about '72 was minimal surfacing</li> </ul>	<p>The statement that 100sqm of surfacing across the highway verge to the gate on the site in 1974, possibly carried out in about 1972 conflicts directly with numerous other accounts that "no works" of any type took place.</p> <p>The specification of the date, i.e. that surfacing works may have been carried out in 1972, and they were definitely before 1974 indicate that the works took place at a time appropriate to implement the permission. However, it is unclear if this is a reference to the access or any other aspect of the planning permission and as such it is considered to lack precision.</p>
17	Mr D Manning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has passed the site on a regular basis on foot and in car since moving to Scalford in 1990.</li> <li>• "improvements" to the access carried out post 1990</li> </ul>	<p>This is a sworn statement and as such carries with it the same weight to that of the applicant in the application.</p> <p>The author recalls "improvements" to the access, not the actual construction of the access, as being post 1990. He recalls that the works were not completed when moving to their current address in November 1990 and as such offers no insight into events taking place between 1972 and 1977.</p>