




Business rates retention scheme

Pooling Prospectus





Business rates retention scheme Pooling Prospectus

May, 2012
Department for Communities and Local Government

© Crown copyright, 2012

Copyright in the typographical arrangement rests with the Crown.

You may re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, visit <http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/> or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or e-mail: psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk.

This document/publication is also available on our website at www.communities.gov.uk

Any enquiries regarding this document/publication should be sent to us at:

Department for Communities and Local Government
Eland House
Bressenden Place
London
SW1E 5DU
Telephone: 030 3444 0000

May, 2012

ISBN: 978-1-4098- 3489-2

Local Government Resource Review - Rates Retention Scheme: Pooling Prospectus

Contents

	Page
Ministerial Foreword	5
Introduction	6
Benefits of pooling	7
How pooling would work	10
Government's approach to pooling	14
Designating a pool	16
Conditions	17
Dissolving a pool	17
Timetable	18
Submitting a pooling proposal	18
Interactive calculator	18

Ministerial Foreword

This Government is taking action to promote strong, sustainable and balanced growth in every part of the country. We want to see strong local economies with thriving businesses and new jobs, and localism is at the heart of achieving this.

We have already removed power from Whitehall, giving it to local authorities and local businesses in Local Enterprise Partnerships, allowing them to determine local economic priorities. Through the Growing Places Fund we are investing £770 million to generate local economic activity, with Local Enterprise Partnerships deciding how funding is spent in their area to drive economic growth. We have also established 24 Enterprise Zones across the country creating the conditions for new business growth, with tax relief, simplified planning rules and super fast broadband.

The Government is also reforming the business rates system, ending one of the most centralised systems of local government finance anywhere in the world, and instead will give local authorities a real incentive to promote economic growth by allowing them to retain a share of the growth in their business rates income. Put simply, authorities that see their local economy grow, will be better off.

To support local authorities working together in partnership to drive economic growth, our business rates reforms will, for the first time, allow local authorities to come together to pool their business rates income, giving them a new tool to better support economic priorities across their area to deliver new businesses and jobs. Local authorities will have the freedom to decide how pools should operate, what area they should cover, and how they should use the business rates income they bring in.

I hope local authorities will consider the opportunities for pooling their business rates to help deliver what's needed to create new jobs and businesses in their area.

Rt Hon Eric Pickles MP

Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government

Introduction

The Coalition Agreement¹ included a commitment to review local government finance, as part of the Government's plans for a radical devolution of power and financial autonomy to local government and community groups. This local government resource review forms an important part of two of Government's key objectives: promoting economic growth, and localism.

The first phase of the local government resource review has focussed on business rates, and the Local Growth White Paper² said that Government would be considering the opportunities that the business rates system offered to strengthen the incentives to support growth and give local authorities greater financial autonomy.

The Government set out its proposals for reforming business rates through the rates retention scheme³ last summer, with eight accompanying technical documents on the detail of how the scheme would operate. Following consultation on its proposals the Government published its response⁴ to the consultation in December confirming its approach for the rates retention scheme. The Local Government Finance Bill, currently before Parliament, provides for the introduction of the rates retention scheme. Subject to Parliamentary consideration of the proposals, the rates retention scheme will come into effect in April 2013.

The Government's proposals will radically reform the way in which local authorities are funded, providing a strong incentive for them to go for growth, while ensuring adequate resources to provide services to local residents.

The Government is moving away from one of the most centralised local government funding systems in the world, where business rates are collected by local authorities, pooled centrally by Government and redistributed to local authorities (including police and fire authorities) through a complex needs based formula grant. This centralised approach means there is no real financial incentive for local authorities to promote growth. The rates retention scheme will create such an incentive by allowing local authorities to retain a proportion of business rates income.

As part of the rates retention scheme, local authorities will be able to come together voluntarily to pool their business rates, giving them scope to generate additional growth through collaborative effort, and to smooth the impact of volatility in rates income across a wider economic area. This

¹ http://www.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/sites/default/files/resources/coalition_programme_for_government.pdf

² <http://www.bis.gov.uk/assets/biscore/economic-development/docs/cm7961-local-growth-white-paper.pdf>

³ <http://www.communities.gov.uk/documents/localgovernment/pdf/1947200.pdf>

⁴ <http://www.communities.gov.uk/documents/localgovernment/pdf/2053502.pdf>

document sets out the opportunities that pooling presents, the process for formally designating pools, and **invites local authorities to come forward with their pooling proposals by Friday 27 July.**

Benefits of pooling

Over the last few years, local authorities have increasingly been working together in different ways: delivering services; sharing back office functions to deliver efficiency savings; and collaborating on issues that affect their wider area as part of Local Enterprise Partnerships (LEPs). Government supports such joint-working between local authorities and wants to encourage it.

As such, the rates retention scheme provides local authorities with the opportunity to come together where they wish to do so to pool business rates and build growth across a wider area.

Key benefits of local authorities pooling business rates are, it:

- provides a new tool to deliver what's needed to promote growth and jobs, allowing investment decisions to support economic priorities
- encourages collaborative working across local authorities, rather than constraining activity within administrative boundaries
- allows the benefit from investment in economic growth to be shared across the wider area – providing a growth dividend to pool partners
- helps local authorities manage volatility in income by sharing fluctuations across budgets

Local authority partnership working

Local authorities are already coming together to assess the economic performance across their natural economic areas, identifying issues and challenges for improvement.

Case Study

Coventry City Council and Warwickshire County Council worked together to publish the Coventry and Warwickshire Economic Assessment⁵ in March 2011 which looked at potential causes and drivers of variations in the area's performance. The report identified:

- a lack of growing businesses, particularly in areas with strongest new start-up rates, and potential under-representation of "high growth" businesses

⁵[http://www.warwickshire.gov.uk/Web/corporate/wccweb.nsf/Links/E0DA25CC92C9AA14802578500039F258/\\$file/CW%20LEA%20Final%20Doc.pdf](http://www.warwickshire.gov.uk/Web/corporate/wccweb.nsf/Links/E0DA25CC92C9AA14802578500039F258/$file/CW%20LEA%20Final%20Doc.pdf)

- more could be done to better exploit innovation assets by improving the diffusion and spill-over effects across the whole economy
- weak agglomeration effects of Coventry and the wider locality that are not generating the scale of economic benefits that might be expected
- relatively poor transport connectivity along the north-south corridor
- lack of higher level skills in the north of the area, which may be constraining productive capacity
- higher value, knowledge intensive businesses and sectors that have not been growing at the same rate as the national economy

The Coventry and Warwickshire authorities, and their private sector partners in the Coventry and Warwickshire Local Enterprise Partnership responded to this in developing their five year strategy for the area, which identified three key ambitions, with more specific and detailed actions set out in its annual business plan:

1. create an environment where it is easy for businesses to start, locate and thrive
2. accelerate the growth of the economy through targeted support in the key strategic sectors
3. tackle the skills problem by aligning supply and demand

The Growing Places Fund is investing £770 million to generate economic activity in the short term by addressing immediate infrastructure and site constraints and promoting the delivery of jobs and housing. The funding establishes a locally controlled revolving fund so that funding can be reinvested to unlock further development, and leverage in additional investment.

The funding, which is allocated to Local Enterprise Partnerships, has three overriding objectives:

- generating economic activity in the short term by addressing immediate infrastructure and site constraints and promoting the delivery of jobs and housing
- allowing Local Enterprise Partnerships to prioritise the infrastructure they need, empowering them to deliver their economic strategies
- establishing sustainable revolving funds so that funding can be reinvested to unlock further development, and leverage in private investment

Case Study

South East Midlands Local Economic Partnership (SEMLEP) is the Local Enterprise Partnership covering the South East Midlands and covers the following local authorities: Aylesbury Vale, Cherwell, Kettering, Northampton, Bedford, Corby, Luton, South Northamptonshire, Central Bedfordshire, Daventry and Milton Keynes. SEMLEP was allocated £18.8m through the Growing Places Fund over the Comprehensive Spending Review period, with funding held by Luton Borough Council as the accountable body.

The South East Midlands Local Enterprise Partnership Board considered the approach for allocating Growing Places funding and decided to invest primarily in projects that were ready for delivery with short term secured returns and to assess projects against the following criteria: risk; impact; value for money; strategic importance; and governance. Income would be returned to a local revolving infrastructure fund through a variety of mechanisms as land was sold or homes completed.

A Task Group of local authority officers was set up, assisted by SEMLEP's Property Development, Investment and Infrastructure Delivery Group and invited Expressions of Interest from local authorities and private sector developers. The projects put forward for funding focussed around:

Utility constraints – removing short term-barriers to long-term projects, including power, flood attenuation and next generation broadband

Infrastructure projects – meeting costs of early technical and design needs to meet Highways Agency requirements and prepare for tender

Rail infrastructure – funding for the short-term to ensure processes are carried out to bring the East-West Rail project to the point of delivery

Premises and property – provision of additional commercial floor space for new innovation and enterprise facilities and prime stalled development sites

Issues identified by the Task Group included:

- Projects would need to be scrutinised carefully to ensure that they really could start quickly
- An assessment of the risk attached to the mechanism for recovering funds would need to be made
- Once the initial decision has been made by the Board a process of 'due diligence' would be required, which might take two to three months, before money could be released

- Contractual arrangements would need to be established between South East Midlands Local Economic Partnership and the relevant local authority to ensure that funds were used properly and returned within the due dates
- The Board would need regular reports to monitor progress

The Board agreed that some projects looked more promising than others and these would form the 'first phase for consideration'. The Board also agreed that projects in the Enterprise Zone should be given priority.

Local authorities and Local Enterprise Partnerships working collaboratively, tackling these kinds of issues, could use pooling as a mechanism to deliver their growth objectives.

How pooling would work

Why pool?

By pooling business rates income, local authorities could have greater ability to invest in the things that will have a greater impact on economic growth in their area, allowing investment decisions to support shared priorities.

As well as supporting shared economic priorities, pooling would help local authorities better weather volatility in business rates income, by allowing pools to move income around the pool according to need, which best meets the priorities of the pool.

Pooling may also place authorities in a more beneficial collective position than if they had not pooled, as it could see an authority's tariff or levy rate reduced as a result of it joining a pool, allowing the members of the pool to benefit from this additional retained income.

The effects of forming a pool will be different in each case, depending on the members of the pool, and their individual circumstances, including whether they are a tariff or top-up, and the rates of growth in business rates income.

Setting the tariff or top-up

Where local authorities come together to form a pool there would be a single tariff or top-up figure for the pool, which would be the sum of all the tariffs and top-ups of the individual authorities within the pool.

Example 1- Setting a pool tariff or top-up

<p>Local Authority A</p> <p>Business rates baseline: £100m</p> <p>Funding baseline: £50m</p> <p>Tariff £50m</p>	<p>Local Authority B</p> <p>Business rates baseline: £50m</p> <p>Funding baseline: £150m</p> <p>Top-up £100m</p>	<p>Local Authority C</p> <p>Business rates baseline: £100m</p> <p>Funding baseline: £75</p> <p>Tariff £25m</p>
<p>Pool</p> <p>Business rates baseline: £250m</p> <p>Funding baseline: £275m</p> <p>Top-up £25m</p>		

In this example, local authorities A and C benefit by being part of the pool as the pool becomes a top-up authority so local authorities A and C no longer pay a tariff.

Setting the levy

There would also be a single levy figure for the pool, calculated on the aggregate income and growth across the pool. The Government intends that the proportional levy should be set at a rate of 1:1 – i.e. so that a one per cent increase in business rates cannot result in more than a one per cent increase in retained income.

Example 2 - Setting a pool levy

Local Authority A	Local Authority B	Local Authority C
Business rates baseline: £100m	Business rates baseline: £50m	Business rates baseline: £100m
Funding baseline: £50m	Funding baseline: £150m	Funding baseline: £75
Tariff £50m	Top-up £100m	Tariff £25m
Levy 50 (pence in pound)	No levy	Levy 25 (pence in pound)

Pool
Business rates baseline: £250m
Funding baseline: £275m
Top-up £25m
No levy

In this example, local authorities A and C benefit by being part of the pool as the pool no longer pays a levy.

Setting the safety-net

Pooling also allows local authorities to manage the fluctuation in business rates income across their area, e.g. as a result of major business closing or a large appeal cost, as the business rates income from across the pool will be able to cushion the impact of such volatility.

However, pooling also means that eligibility for safety-net payments is calculated at a pool-wide level (in the same way as tariffs, top-ups and levy payments). The Government intends to set the level at which safety-net payments become payable at between 7.5 and 10 per cent below an authority's business rates baseline. The final figure will be subject to consultation with the local government sector in the summer.

Example 3 - Pool with safety-net

Local Authority A	Local Authority B	Local Authority C
Business rates baseline: £100m	Business rates baseline: £50m	Business rates baseline: £100m
Business rates income: £105m (5% above baseline)	Business rates income: £49m (2% below baseline)	Business rates income: £92m (8% below baseline)
Not eligible for safety-net	Not eligible for safety-net	Eligible for safety-net

Pool
Business rates baseline: £250m
Business rates income: £246m (1.6% below baseline)
Not eligible for safety-net

In this example, outside a pool local authority C would be eligible for safety-net payments, given the size of the fall in its business rates income below its baseline level. Assuming the level at which the safety net becomes payable is set at 7.5%. However, in a pool, the different rates of growth in local authority A and B offset local authority C's decline, meaning that the pool is not eligible for safety-net payments. Local authorities A and B would be able to provide support to local authority C to manage the fluctuation in its income.

Distributing benefit within the pool

It would be for pools themselves to decide how to distribute aggregate revenues within the pool. This will allow members of a pool to decide how best to support shared economic priorities across the pool or to manage volatility.

Pools might decide to adopt a 'no worse off' approach, whereby members of the pool would be no worse off inside a pool than had they been treated as individual authorities.

Alternatively, pools may wish to adopt different approaches, perhaps weighting contributions depending on the benefit received – e.g. in example one, local authority A sees the most benefit as it is moving off a levy rate of 50 pence in the pound. This might be reflected in final levy contributions with local authority A paying the greater contribution to the pooled levy rate.

Example two shows that again local authority A benefits from the position of the other members in the pool, removing the tariff that local authority A would otherwise have to pay. A pool might want to reflect this by looking at how this retained income might support growth across the pool, including as shown in example three, through providing support as a result of volatility, where the pool does not qualify for safety-net support.

As the examples above show, tariff authorities can see a clear benefit from pooling with top-up authorities. While the benefits of pooling for top-up authorities may not be so obvious, the Government believes that pooling will allow local authorities to develop pooling arrangements, tailored to their own unique circumstances, that recognise the contribution made by each member of the pool to the overall benefit gained by the pool.

Dissolution

If a pool is dissolved, members of a pool would return to their individual tariff, top-up and levy amounts. Pools would need to ensure that they had arrangements in place in the event of dissolution, so that there was an agreed way of apportioning levy payments (which will not be known until the end of the financial year).

Government's approach to pooling

The key principle of the Government's approach to pooling is that it should be voluntary. The Government is clear that pooling will not be imposed on areas. The Government believes pools will be most successful where they have been formed locally, with local authorities and elected councillors seeing benefits in working in partnership across council boundaries to promote shared strategic priorities for economic growth.

The Government believes that, as far as possible, it should be for local authorities themselves to determine how best a pool might work.

Geographic coverage

It will be for local authorities to determine the geographic coverage of a pool. Government believes local authorities will want to pool on the basis that best supports natural economic geography. Government reserves the right to refuse pooling proposals where there is no clear rationale for the proposed pool (e.g. where members of a pool have no rational connection). Pools should operate on the basis of whole local authority area. In two-tier areas, pools could choose to operate on a county-wide basis, but will also be able to pool wider, including on a Local Enterprise Partnership-wide basis, where this makes sense and where members wish to do so.

Membership

It will be for members of a pool to determine membership of the pool. Government will not prescribe the size of pools or which authorities should be in a pool. However, pools will need to be able to operate effectively and make decisions on funding, and authorities may want to consider optimum size when looking at membership. Authorities will not be able to be a member of more than one pool. In two-tier areas, given the responsibilities of upper tier authorities, authorities will wish to consider the merits of including a county council within a pool formed within the county area. . Authorities may want to satisfy themselves that their proposed pool membership does not create any perverse disadvantages for neighbouring authorities not included in the pool, which may subsequently result in objections to the pool proposals. The Department will be required to consult those affected by pooling proposals.

Governance

It will be for members of a pool to determine how the pool will be governed and operate, including in the event of a pool dissolving. The Government believes transparency will be important in pooling and as such expects pools to publish their pooling arrangements and financial information on the operation of the pool. To the extent that any guidance is needed on good practice for managing pools, the Government looks to the sector to produce it. Issues which authorities may wish to consider when framing pooling agreements might include:

- governance structure for management of the pool, including decision making
- how investment decisions will be made
- how the pool will ensure transparency of decision making and investment decisions
- how the pool will handle dissolution, including any requirement to give notice of an intention to request dissolution, and distribution of assets and liabilities in the event of dissolution

Pooling requirements

While wanting to let local authorities decide how best they should manage and operate any pools, the Government does believe that there are some arrangements that will be required, if pools are to operate effectively.

There should be a clear rationale for the geographic coverage of the proposed pool.

Pools should operate on a whole local authority basis, and an authority can not be a member of more than one pool.

Authorities will have to nominate one member to act as a lead authority. It is Government's intention that payments from, or to, the pool under the rates retention scheme would be channelled through the lead authority. The lead authority would also be responsible for supplying any information on behalf of the pool in connection with operation of the rates retention scheme. Each member of a pool would, however, be jointly and severally liable for any payments required to the Department for Communities and Local Government.

Depending on which authorities decide to pool, there could be an impact on the funding that is available for the safety-net, and in some exceptional scenarios there is a risk that there may not be sufficient levy income to fund the safety-net. The Government therefore believes it would be sensible to reserve the right, in such exceptional circumstances, to consider factors such as the overall affordability of the rates retention scheme in deciding whether to designate a pool.

Incentives

The Government consulted on whether there should be further incentives within the rates retention scheme for local authorities that wished to pool. There was not support for this in the consultation exercise, and the Government has not introduced further incentives at the outset of the scheme. However, the Government reserves the right to return to this issue at a later date, if it would be beneficial.

Designating a pool

For a pool to come into effect, the Department for Communities and Local Government must make a pooling designation before local authorities are notified of the basis in which he intends to calculate tariffs and top-ups – i.e. before publication of the draft Local Government Finance Report, which is expected to be published as usual at the end of November/early December. The Government is seeking amendments to the Local Government Finance Bill to provide an opportunity for local authorities to request to withdraw from a designated pool before a pool comes into effect, if after seeing the draft Local

Government Finance Report they no longer believe that pooling provides the opportunities they had previously thought.

Once designated, a pool will be treated as a single body for the purpose of calculating its tariff or top-up. Pools will continue from year to year until a designation is revoked.

While local authorities in a pool will be treated as a single body, for the purposes of calculating tariffs, top-ups or levy payments, individual authorities in a pool would still be notified of tariffs and top-ups and the effect of any amending reports and would receive amending local government finance reports.

Conditions

When designating a pool, the Department for Communities and Local Government may attach conditions, as necessary – e.g. a requirement to publish an annual financial statement showing how income has been distributed or what income has been retained by the pool for future investment, or a requirement to notify other members of the pool of an intention to request dissolution of a pool and to do so in time to allow the other members of the pool to still submit a new pooling proposal for the following financial year.

The Department for Communities and Local Government would have the flexibility to add, modify, or remove conditions on a pool, to deal with changing circumstances, but before doing so would be required to consult pool members on proposed changes.

The Department for Communities and Local Government would be able to dissolve a pool if any conditions of designation are breached, following consultation with its members and other persons that may be affected. .

Dissolving a pool

The Government is clear that pooling should be voluntary, and this means that members of a pool should be able to leave a pool should they decide it is no longer in their interests to be a member of it.

Where an authority that is a member of the pool requests that it is dissolved, the Department for Communities and Local Government must dissolve it, but will first be required to consult those affected, and inform the members of the pool and other persons affected.

Where a pool is dissolved, this will take effect from the following financial year unless it is made before the Local Government Finance Report is laid before Parliament and is in response to a request to dissolve the pool made within 28 days of the draft Local Government Finance Report being published. To dissolve a pool part way through a financial year would be too disruptive.

Once a pool has been designated and the Local Government Finance Report laid, it is therefore indissoluble for the entire period of the financial year.

The Government would expect pools to have arrangements in place in the event of dissolution, particularly as certain liabilities – eg the levy – will only be known after the end of the financial year.

Local authorities should consider whether to incorporate into pooling agreements a requirement for members to notify each other of an intention to seek dissolution. Where there is no such provision, the Secretary of State may attach such a condition to a pool.

Timetable

To ensure pools are able to come into effect in time for the start of the rates retention scheme in April 2013, the timetable for pooling is as follows:

17 May	Invitation for local authorities to bring forward pooling proposals
27 July	Deadline for local authorities to submit pooling proposals
September	Consultation on pooling proposals
November	Designation of pooling proposals, ahead of publication of draft Local Government Finance Report
April 2013	Start of rates retention scheme

Submitting a pooling proposal

Local authorities that wish to submit a pooling proposal should complete the template at Annex A (one template on behalf of the pool) and submit it by Friday 27 July.

Interactive calculator

In order to support local authorities develop a pooling proposal, the Government will shortly publish a revised version of the interactive calculator. The interactive calculator enables users to explore the principal features of the proposed business rates retention scheme by entering their own inputs and varying components. The revisions remove some of the policy options where Ministers have now taken decisions and allow users to assess the effects of pooling in the rates retention scheme. Users are now able to compare the outcomes of the scheme with and without pooling, allowing them to better understand how pooling may affect their retained rates income.

No assumptions are made about how the combined retained income of the pool is distributed between participating authorities. However, for comparison purposes, the calculator does show the total retained income of the pooled authorities *as if they were not pooled*. This will show whether or not the pooled authorities in total are better off if pooled or treated separately

RATES RETENTION SCHEME: POOLING PROPOSAL

1. Pool title or description:

2. Lead contact for pool:

Name	
Organisation	
Position	
Address	
Telephone	
Email	

3. Local authorities included in pool:

4. Counties and/ or Local Enterprise Partnership(s) pool covers:

5. What is the aim/rationale for the pool? Please briefly describe:

6. Does the pool support the area's growth priorities (including Local Enterprise Partnership strategy and priorities)? If so, briefly describe how:

7. Is there a pooling agreement setting out governance structures for management of the pool, decision making structures, how investment decisions will be made, and how the pool will handle dissolution? Please enclose a copy of the pooling agreement.

8. Has the pooling agreement been signed off by the Chief Executive and section 151 officer for each local authority within the pool? Please enclose signed confirmation from each authority.

9. Is there a lead authority that has been agreed by the pool? If so, which? Please enclose confirmation from the section 151 officer for that authority that it is happy to act as lead authority, and how it plans to manage pooled resources it holds.

10. Please briefly set out how the pool will provide transparency to its working and decision making?

Pool proposals must be submitted by **Friday 27 July**.

Please send them to: Elizabeth Cowie, Pooling Proposals, Department for Communities and Local Government, 5/D1, Eland House