

RURAL, ECONOMIC & ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

7 SEPTEMBER 2011

REPORT OF HEAD OF CENTRAL SERVICES

CAPITAL PROGRAMME MONITORING TO 31 JULY 2011

1.0 PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

1.1 To update the Committee on the progress of schemes within the Capital Programme to 31 July 2011.

2.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 It is recommended that:-

- (a) the progress made on each capital scheme be noted.
- (b) the business case as outlined at para 5.3 be submitted to Policy, Finance and Administration committee for approval.

3.0 KEY ISSUES

3.1 Under the Capital Programme Project Appraisal System, monitoring of actual capital expenditure against authorised expenditure is undertaken on a regular basis and reported to the Management Team in its capacity as the Council's Programme Board. Appendix A gives details of the spending against budget for all schemes within this Committee up to 31 July which is the latest available information at the agenda date.

4.0 POLICY AND CORPORATE IMPLICATIONS

4.1 Policy and corporate implications were addressed in setting the current year's budget. There are no further policy and corporate implications arising from this report.

5.0 FINANCIAL AND OTHER RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

5.1 The financial implications for each scheme are as set out in Appendix A

5.2 The overall position for all capital schemes falling within this Committee is as set out below.

Capital Schemes	Allocated Funding 2011/12 Budget	Authorised Funding 2011/12 (Form B or Business Case Approved)	Actual Expenditure to 31 Jul 2011	Balance to be Spent 2011/12 (Authorised funding less Actual)
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
General Expenses	79	64	11	53

The forecast is generally in line with the budget with schemes progressing although expenditure has yet to be incurred.

5.3 Members are asked to approve the business case in respect of the environmental vehicle replacement programme attached at Appendix B for submission to Policy, Finance and Administration Committee. Members will note that expenditure has already been incurred on this project because it was necessary to expedite replacement of the vehicle due to its age and condition, being substantially over its intended useful life as indicated in the business case.

6.0 LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

6.1 Legal implications/powers were addressed in setting the current year's programme. There are no further legal implications arising from this report.

7.0 COMMUNITY SAFETY

7.1 Individual schemes could have links to community safety issues. These should be covered in any associated reports and forms linked to those schemes as they progress through the decision making process.

8.0 EQUALITIES

8.1 Individual schemes could have links to equalities issues. These should be covered in any associated reports and forms linked to those schemes as they progress through the decision making process.

9.0 RISKS

9.1 These should be covered in any associated reports and forms linked to those schemes as they progress through the decision making process.

10.0 CLIMATE CHANGE

10.1 Individual schemes could have links to climate change issues. These should be covered in any associated reports and forms linked to those schemes as they progress through the decision making process.

11.0 CONSULTATION

11.1 Consultation takes place between project managers and the Senior Accountancy Assistant - Capital to determine the information included in Appendix A. Reports are also prepared on a quarterly basis for the Programme Board.

12.0 WARDS AFFECTED

12.1 To varying degrees all wards are affected by capital schemes within this Committee

Contact Officer: David Wallbanks

Date: 9 August 2011

Appendices: Appendix A – Capital Programme Progress Report – Jul 2011
Appendix B - Business Case

Background Papers: Oracle Financial Reports
Budget Holder Comments on Performance

Reference: X: Ctte,Council & SubCttes/REEA/2011-12/7-9-11/DG-Capital Prog.
Monitoring-Jul 2011