

MONITORING FRAMEWORK APPENDIX

35 responses have been registered for this appendix (7.69% of the total)

Answer	Behalf of	Response ID	Add comments about the whole chapter - Comments	Officer Response	Further Actions
Mr John Brown		ANON-BHRP-4H4Z-P	Dispersion of new builds throughout the Borough needs reviewing. Wildlife, the environment and existing communities needs to be considered at all times.	Noted.	
Lucy Flavin	Broughton and Dalby Parish Council	ANON-BHRP-4H4T-G	Pity the numbers were not available but we approve of the concept.	As this stage we are looking for the best indicators to use in the future in order to measure and evaluate the different policies in our Local Plan. Evaluating the availability of these figures and indicators will provide us with the information to measure the effectiveness of our policies.	
Mark Colin Marlow		ANON-BHRP-4HEJ-Q	I disagree with this entirely. I do not see that there is a "need" for more housing. There is a "need" for better use and control of existing housing. There is a need to re-evaluate how housing associations are able to decide who can and cannot have houses. I do not believe in building for buildings sake and I do not believe in using houses to make profit. Houses are for living in. There should be no enforcement on any communities to have to build because the government says so. I challenge this notion entirely.	The reality is that the housing market exists in all the different levels in UK (from Local to National levels). Working with this reality the Local Government needs to assure high quality homes for everybody. In the particular case of Melton, the lack of houses is a fact supported by several indicators (economy, new houses prices, market interest, renting cost, etc...) and population and immigration trends. Using this evidence, MBC has considered the need for more housing across the Borough.	
Anthony Thomas		ANON-BHRP-4HFX-6	Without numbers being quoted, difficulty in assessing this.	As this stage we are looking for the best indicators to use in the future in order to measure and evaluate the different policies in our Local Plan. Evaluating the availability of these figures and indicators will provide us with the information to measure the effectiveness of our policies.	
Dr Jerzy A Schmidt		ANON-BHRP-4H4P-C	Can't comment as few figures given!	As this stage we are looking for the best indicators to use in the future in order to measure and evaluate the different policies in our Local Plan. Evaluating the availability of these figures and indicators will provide us with the information to measure the effectiveness of our	

				policies.	
Deborah Caroline Adams		ANON- BHRP- 4H38-K	The figures are already out of date. Some of the figures are not even completed. How can one agree with something which is incomplete/out of date.	As this stage we are looking for the best indicators to use in the future in order to measure and evaluate the different policies in our Local Plan. Evaluating the availability of these figures and indicators will provide us with the information to measure the effectiveness of our policies.	
Anthony Paphiti		ANON- BHRP- 4HBV-Z	Will we have built 1,225 homes by April this year?	No. The total amount of residential completions at April this year was 502 homes; however it should have been 1225 homes. There is therefore already a shortfall in these first years of the Local Plan that should be rectified in the next years.	
Clair Ingham		ANON- BHRP- 4HMZ-F	The key policy aims seem sensible	Noted.	
Richard Simon	Bottesford Parish Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group	ANON- BHRP- 4HUB-Y	Some measures say no reduction when we ought to be looking at an increase, consider equivalence in area and quality if there are site replacements in the period.	The targets that are aiming for a 'no net reduction' seek to protect some particular areas/features at risk. Policies relating to Local Wildlife Sites, SSSI, Local Geological Sites, Listed Buildings, Historic Parks and Gardens, Scheduled Monuments or Conservation areas. With the exception of Conservation Areas, we, as a Local Authority, are not the organization responsible of increasing the number of these features (Natural England, Historic England, etc..., are) however we help to protect them, avoiding their reduction through our policies, or in case of the Conservation Areas, regulating the processes that might affect to their boundaries.	
Richard Simon		ANON- BHRP- 4HZC-5	Some measures say no reduction when we ought to be looking at an increase, consider equivalence in area and quality if there are site replacements in the period..	The targets that are aiming for a 'no net reduction' seek to protect some particular areas/features at risk. Policies relating to Local Wildlife Sites, SSSI, Local Geological Sites, Listed Buildings, Historic Parks and Gardens, Scheduled Monuments or Conservation areas. With the exception of Conservation Areas, we, as a Local Authority, are not the organization responsible of	

				increasing the number of these features (Natural England, Historic England, etc..., are) however we help to protect them, avoiding their reduction through our policies, or in case of the Conservation Areas, regulating the processes that might affect to their boundaries.	
JOHN RUST		ANON-BHRP-4HUV-K	<p>Extract: We question the statement in 4.2.1. that the MBC area needs 245 houses each year for the next 20 years. The Leicester and Leicestershire Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) 2014 (Table 85 page 186 of the conclusions) identifies a need for 194 houses a year to cope with demographic projections and 239 to support potential, but impossible to prove, economic growth. The figure taken by MBC of 245 per year is the highest point of the OAN Range. The SHMA also notes that the numbers presented do not take account of development of infrastructure. The SHMA page 102 notes that "Although this report contains three different, demographic projections we would recommend the Midpoint Headship Rate Projections as the most realistic and reliable demographic-led projections based on historic trends. We consider this to be the most realistic projection of future population and household growth based on past population trends." This analysis gives the 194 houses per annum figure, the 245 houses per annum figure is based on speculation of the amount of economic growth until 2036 as the SHMA points out</p> <p>http://www.melton.gov.uk/downloads/file/1676/leicester_and_leicestershire_strategic_housing_market_assessment_2014</p> <p>While it is laudable that Melton will support economic growth it is questionable that the population will exist to utilise or purchase the higher number of properties that Melton is currently planning for. 194 houses per annum as given by the SHMA would be the sustainable figure taking the 245 figure is unwise. This high figure has a knock on effect to the apportionment of building in the countryside surrounding Melton.</p>	MBC has opted for the highest OAN range (it can be found in table 85 page 186 in the 2014 SHMA) for the Borough to address an emerging chronic shortfall in working aged population in order that it can continue to support local businesses with a local labour force. In addition higher housing requirement provides greater support for the development of essential infrastructure required in Melton Mowbray to resolve traffic congestion.	
Mick Jones		ANON-BHRP-4H6N-C	I would hope that by the time the plan is adopted, there will be a column that specifies actions to be taken by the borough council if targets, especially for housing are not met.	The table will include such a column	A column specifying actions to be taken if the targets are not met will be included.
Cllr Martin Lusty	Waltham on the Wolds & Thorpe Arnold Parish Council and Neighbourhood Planning Group	ANON-BHRP-4HBZ-4	<p>See comments on 'Policy SS2 – Development Strategy'</p> <p>To the question 'Please explain why you are supporting or objecting', you replied:</p> <p><i>We believe that Melton town, with its train station, numerous supermarkets, library, good entertainment amenities, etc. can sustainably support a higher percentage of the Borough's housing needs, say 70% or 75%. A lower percentage of housing growth in all the villages will preserve the rural quality of life for which the Borough is famous'</i></p>	Noted.	In light of the Local Plan's evidence the current split 65 - 35% looks like the most reasonable approach in order to get the most of the services mentioned and to enhance and revitalize the different rural settlements across the Borough.
Nicholas John Walker		ANON-BHRP-4HGC-J	By building more houses in Somerby Parish MBC will only increase the economy of Oakham not Melton. Development on the Norther,Eatern and Western side of Melton with ensure homeowners spend in Melton.Development in Somerby will not increase the economy in Melton	Noted.	