### **Appendix A**



# MELTON BOROUGH COUNCIL INTERNAL AUDIT UPDATE NOVEMBER 2015

Date: 23<sup>rd</sup> November 2015

#### Introduction

- 1.1 The Welland Internal Audit Consortium provides the internal audit service for Melton Borough Council and has been commissioned to provide 235 audit days to deliver the 2015/16 Annual Audit Plan and undertake other work commissioned by the client.
- 1.2 The Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (the Standards) require the Governance Committee to scrutinise the performance of the Internal Audit Team and to satisfy itself that it is receiving appropriate assurance about the controls put in place by management to address identified risks to the Council. This report aims to provide the Committee with details on progress made in delivering planned work, the key findings of audit assignments completed since the last Committee meeting, updates on the implementation of actions arising from audit reports and an overview of the performance of the Consortium.

#### **Performance**

#### 2.1 Will the Internal Audit Plan for 2015/16 be delivered?

The Welland Internal Audit Consortium is currently under the management of LGSS (Local Government Shared Services). The Welland Board has set LGSS the objective of delivering at least 90% of the Internal Audit plans for 2015/16 to draft report stage by the end of March 2016.

At the date of reporting, four assignments have been finalised; draft reports have been issued for three assignments; and work is commencing or already underway on another five assignments. As such, at the time of reporting, 47% of the assignments have been delivered to at least draft report stage. Progress on individual assignments is shown in Appendix 1.

#### 2.2 Are audits being delivered to budget?

Internal Audit is on target to deliver the Audit Plan within the 235 days budget. Any overruns on individual assignments are managed within the overall budget.

#### 2.3 Are clients satisfied with the quality of the Internal Audit assignments?

Responses received to the Customer Satisfaction Questionnaire show that clients have rated all aspects of the audit assignments completed during the year to date as 'good' or 'outstanding'. A summary of the responses is provided in Appendix 2.

#### 2.4 Is the Internal Audit team achieving the expected level of productivity?

As at the latest possible date for reporting purposes (week 29), the team has been delivering 91% productivity, thereby exceeding the target set of 90%.

## 2.5 Based upon recent Internal Audit work, are there any emerging issues that impact upon the Internal Audit opinion of the Council's Control Framework?

Since the last Committee meeting, a report on a further assignment from the 2015/16 Internal Audit Plan has been finalised.

The Internal Audit review of the **Wheels to Work** service resulted in a **Sufficient Assurance** opinion over the design and effectiveness of the controls in place to manage the identified risks.

The audit reviewed the controls in place to manage the risks of: ineligible individuals being accepted on to the Wheels to Work scheme; non-compliance with health and safety legislation; misappropriation and/or loss of Council income; and insufficient funds/resources to meet the requirements of the Wheels to Work programme.

Participant feedback received by Internal Audit clearly indicates that the Wheels to Work scheme provides a customer focussed service that supports the community. The health and safety of riders is a key priority for the team and controls exist to ensure that riders are insured, provided with suitable health and safety equipment and vehicles are road worthy and taxed.

Internal Audit reviewed twenty individuals enrolled on the scheme and found robust controls were in place for checking the eligibility of participants and ensuring riders are fully aware of their responsibilities through issuing checklists and signing up to agreements.

The process for recovering debt from riders was highlighted as requiring improvement. At the time of the audit the total of overdue debt was £35,315 (276 accounts), £25,906 of which dated back to 2010-2014. Technical Issues with the finance system between November 2014 and February 2015 had resulted in delays in issuing invoices and producing aged debt reports to assist with the debt collection process. Individuals were also not being consistently invoiced for the £250 insurance excess where an accident occurred and the rider was at fault.

Within the report, eight recommendations have been made to further improve the control environment and implementation of the agreed actions will be subject to Internal Audit review.

Copies of all Internal Audit reports are available to Members at any time and can be requested via the Head of Internal Audit or the Head of Corporate Services.

#### 2.6 Are clients progressing audit recommendations with appropriate urgency?

Since the last Committee meeting, twenty actions from audit reports have been completed by officers, this represents 74% of the actions due for completion during the period. At the date of reporting, there are seven agreed management actions which are overdue for implementation. Reasons have been provided and revised dates for implementation have been agreed, where appropriate. An analysis of the implementation of actions is provided in Appendix 3. Two of these actions were assessed as 'High Priority' and have been overdue for over three months and, as such, full details have been provided in Appendix 4.

## Appendix 1: Progressing the Annual Internal Audit Plan

#### KEY

Current status of assignments is shown by

| - 4 | я |    |  |
|-----|---|----|--|
| - 0 |   | ν. |  |
|     |   |    |  |

| Assignment                            | Budget | Actual | Not<br>Started | Planning | Field<br>Work<br>Underway | Field<br>Work<br>Complete | Draft Report | Final Report | Assurance<br>Rating | Comments                                   |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|----------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|--|
| Financial Risks                       |        |        |                |          |                           |                           |              |              |                     |  |
| Procurement Cards                     | 5      | 5      |                |          |                           |                           |              | •            | Substantial         | Reported to Committee in June 2015         |
| Debtors System and Debt<br>Recovery   | 10     | -      | •              |          |                           |                           |              |              |                     | Quarter 4 2015/16                          |
| Financial Governance and Transparency | 10     | 5.4    |                |          |                           | •                         |              |              |                     | Consultancy/<br>benchmarking review        |
| Financial System Key<br>Controls      | 15     | -      | •              |          |                           |                           |              |              |                     | Quarter 4 2015/16                          |
| Revenues and Benefits                 | 9      | -      |                | •        |                           |                           |              |              |                     | Consultancy as needed                      |
| IT Risks                              |        |        |                |          |                           |                           |              |              |                     |  |
| IT Helpdesk Service                   | 20     | 19.7   |                |          |                           |                           |              | •            | Sufficient          | Reported to Committee in<br>September 2015 |
| IT Roles and<br>Responsibilities      | 10     | -      |                | •        |                           |                           |              |              |                     | Quarter 3 2015/16                          |

| Assignment  | Budget | Actual | Not<br>Started | Planning | Field<br>Work<br>Underway | Field<br>Work<br>Complete | Draft Report | Final Report | Assurance<br>Rating | Comments                                   |
|---|--------|--------|----------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|--|
| Service Delivery Risks                              |        |        |                |          |                           |                           |              |              |                     |  |
| Housing Repairs                                     | 20     | -      | •              |          |                           |                           |              |              |                     | Quarter 4 2015/16                          |
| Intensive Housing Management Scheme                 | 15     | -      |                | •        |                           |                           |              |              |                     |  |
| New Build Programme                                 | 10     | 1      |                |          | •                         |                           |              |              |                     | Embedded Assurance throughout the year     |
| Industrial Estates                                  | 10     | 7.4    |                |          |                           |                           | •            |              |                     |  |
| Statutory Inspection<br>Regimes (Communal<br>areas) | 15     | 14.6   |                |          |                           |                           | •            |              |                     |  |
| Wheels to Work                                      | 10     | 16     |                |          |                           |                           |              | •            | Sufficient          |  |
| Health and Safety                                   | 15     | 14.5   |                |          |                           |                           |              | •            | Limited             | Reported to Committee in<br>September 2015 |
| Planning Applications                               | 5      | 4.2    |                |          |                           |                           | •            |              |                     | Consultancy support                        |

| Assignment                              | Budget | Actual | Comments |
|---|--------|--------|----------|
| Other Client Support                    |        |        |          |
| Advice & Assistance                     | 2      | 1.1    |          |
| Committee Work, Support & Annual Report | 15     | 4.4    |          |
| Recommendation Follow-Up                | 3      | 2.3    |          |

| Assignment                                 | Budget | Actual | Comments |
|--|--------|--------|----------|
| Other Client Support                       |        |        |          |
| Client Meetings, AGS/NFI & External Audit, | 15     | 3      |          |
| Audit Planning                             |        |        |          |
| Completion of 2014/15 Assignments          | -      | 17     |          |
| Consortium Management Time                 | 21     | 12     |          |

At the completion of each assignment the Auditor will report on the level of assurance that can be taken from the work undertaken and the findings of that work. The table below provides an explanation of the various assurance statements that Members might expect to receive.

| Substantial | There is a sound control framework designed to manage or mitigate risks to the achievement of defined objectives. Testing confirms that the controls are being applied consistently.   |
|-------------|--|
| Sufficient  | <ul> <li>The control framework is basically sound but either</li> <li>there are minor gaps or weaknesses which mean that some risks are not fully managed or mitigated; or</li> <li>testing provides evidence of non-compliance sufficient to weaken the effect of some controls.</li> </ul> |
| Limited     | There are significant weaknesses in key elements of the control framework which mean that significant risks are not managed or mitigated. Testing demonstrates significant levels of non-compliance with prescribed processes and procedures   |
| No          | The controls identified are not sufficient to manage/mitigate identified risks to the achievement of defined objectives. Testing demonstrates high levels of non-compliance with prescribed processes and procedures.  |

#### **Appendix 2: Customer Satisfaction**

At the completion of each assignment, the Auditor issues a Customer Satisfaction Questionnaire to each client with whom there was a significant engagement during the assignment. The Head of Service and the Line Manager receive a CSQ for all assignments within their areas of responsibility. The standard CSQ asks for the client's opinion of four key aspects of the assignment. The responses received in the year to date are set out below.

| Aspects of Audit Assignments     | N/A | Outstanding | Good | Satisfactory | Poor |
|----------------------------------|-----|-------------|------|--------------|------|
| Design of Assignment             |     | 1           | 3    |              |      |
| Communication during Assignments |     | 2           | 2    |              |      |
| Quality of Reporting             |     | 2           | 2    |              |      |
| Quality of Recommendations       | 1   |             | 3    |              |      |
| Total                            | 1   | 5           | 10   |              | _    |

## Appendix 3: Implementation of Audit Recommendations

|  | 'High' priority recommendations |            | 'Medium' priority recommendations |            |        | ' priority<br>nendations | Total  |            |
|--|---------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------|--------|--------------------------|--------|------------|
|  | Number                          | % of total | Number                            | % of total | Number | % of total               | Number | % of total |
| Actions due and <b>implemented</b> since last Committee meeting  | 7                               | 78%        | 8                                 | 67%        | 5      | 83%                      | 20     | 74%        |
| Actions due within last 3 months, but <b>not implemented</b>     | -                               | -          | 3                                 | 25%        | 1      | 17%                      | 4      | 15%        |
| Actions due <u>over 3 months</u> ago, but <u>not implemented</u> | 2                               | 22%        | 1                                 | 8%         | -      | -                        | 3      | 11%        |
| Totals   | 9                               | 100%       | 12                                | 100%       | 6      | 100%                     | 27     | 100%       |

## Appendix 4: 'High' Priority actions overdue for more than three months

| Audit Title and<br>Year         | Service Area           | Issue / Outstanding Action   | Reason for Revised Implementation Timescale   | Officer<br>Responsible                                    | Original Date                  | Revised Date                      |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Main Accounting 2013/14         | Regulatory<br>Services | Head of Regulatory Services ensures the Business Continuity Plan is approved and communicated to all relevant officers subject to discussion on the effect of arrangements with partners in Parkside.    | Work continues but the Business Continuity Plan is not yet complete. The previous version remains relevant in its approach and wider content, but some details are out of date. | Head of Regulatory<br>Services                            | 31 <sup>st</sup> May 2013      | To be agreed                      |
| Starters and<br>Leavers 2014/15 | Central<br>Services    | The process for informing Facilities and IT of Partners leaving employment is be reviewed and amended so that there is one standard process/form that can be applied to both Partners and MBC employees. | In designing the form issues were identified which may have compromised another control. Internal Audit advice has been provided and the form is due to 'go live' soon.         | Head of Central<br>Services and Head<br>of Communications | 31 <sup>st</sup> March<br>2015 | 31 <sup>st</sup> December<br>2015 |

#### **Appendix 5: Limitations and Responsibilities**

#### Limitations inherent to the internal auditor's work

The Consortium is undertaking a programme of work agreed by the council's senior managers and approved by the Governance Committee subject to the limitations outlined below.

#### **Opinion**

Each audit assignment undertaken addresses the control objectives agreed with the relevant, responsible managers.

There might be weaknesses in the system of internal control that the Consortium are not aware of because they did not form part of the programme of work; were excluded from the scope of individual internal assignments; or were not brought to the Consortium's attention. As a consequence, the Governance Committee should be aware that the Audit Opinion for each assignment might have differed if the scope of individual assignments was extended or other relevant matters were brought to the Consortium's attention.

#### Internal Control

Internal control systems identified during audit assignments, no matter how well designed and operated, are affected by inherent limitations. These include the possibility of poor judgement in decision making; human error; control processes being deliberately circumvented by employees and others; management overriding controls; and unforeseeable circumstances.

#### **Future Periods**

The assessment of each audit area is relevant to the time that the audit was completed in. In other words, it is a snapshot of the control environment at that time. This evaluation of effectiveness may not be relevant to future periods due to the risk that:

- The design of controls may become inadequate because of changes in operating environment, law, regulatory requirements or other factors; or
- The degree of compliance with policies and procedures may deteriorate.

#### Responsibilities of management and internal auditors

It is management's responsibility to develop and maintain sound systems of risk management; internal control and governance; and for the prevention or detection of irregularities and fraud. Internal audit work should not be seen as a substitute for management's responsibilities for the design and operation of these systems.

The Consortium endeavours to plan its work so that there is a reasonable expectation that significant control weaknesses will be detected. If weaknesses are detected additional work is undertaken to identify any consequent fraud or irregularities. However, Internal Audit procedures alone, even when carried out with due professional care, do not guarantee that fraud will be detected, and its work should not be relied upon to disclose all fraud or other irregularities that might exist.