

GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

28 MARCH 2013

REPORT OF HEAD OF COMMUNICATIONS

REFRESHED PERFORMANCE REPORTING FRAMEWORK

1.0 PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 To provide for a refreshed Performance Reporting Framework.

2.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 That the refreshed Performance Reporting Framework be adopted.

2.2 That the Head of Communications be given delegated authority to ensure the future revision of the Framework documents in line with legislation and best practice.

3.0 KEY ISSUES

3.1 The Council's original Performance Reporting Framework ensured compliance with the requirements of performance reporting in relation to the Comprehensive Area Assessment (CAA) and the National Indicator Set, but has needed refreshing to take account of changes from central government in relation to localism and the abolition of the CAA reporting regime.

3.2 The refreshed Framework also incorporates the developments the Council has put in place with regard to scorecards and the alignment of performance with corporate priorities.

4.0 POLICY AND CORPORATE IMPLICATIONS

4.1 The refreshed Framework will ensure that elected Members' wishes to have a corporately aligned performance reporting system, developed through the Performance Management Information Task Group (PMITG), are formally adopted, and replace the now out-of-date original Framework with the current system and practice.

5.0 FINANCIAL AND OTHER RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

5.1 There are no specific implications for financial and other resources of adopting a refreshed Framework.

6.0 LEGAL IMPLICATIONS/POWERS

6.1 Adopting the refreshed Framework will ensure that the Council's documentation correctly describes its current performance reporting processes. There should not be any specific legal implications or issues around powers.

7.0 COMMUNITY SAFETY

7.1 There are no community safety issues directly arising from this report.

8.0 EQUALITIES

8.1 There are no specific issues in relation to Equalities.

9.0 RISKS

9.1 The risk of not adopting the refreshed Framework documents would mean that the current performance reporting system and practice were not described and available to officers, elected Members, or the Council's auditors, and would therefore hinder compliance with the current performance reporting system and practice of the Council.

Probability



Very High A				
High B				
Significant C			1	
Low D		2		
Very Low E				
Almost Impossible F				
	IV Neg- ligible	III Marg- inal	II Critical	I Catast- rophic

Impact →

Risk No.	Description
1	Not adopting the refreshed Framework will leave the Council open to increased risk of non-compliance
2	Adopting the refreshed Policy will significantly reduce the risk of non-compliance

10.0 CLIMATE CHANGE

10.1 There are no climate change issues directly arising from this report.

11.0 CONSULTATION

11.1 Consultation on the refreshed Framework is not a requirement. Once adopted, it can be publicly available, and also meet the requirement of 'digital by default'.

12.0 WARDS AFFECTED

12.1 All wards are potentially affected.

Contact Officer: Stewart Tiltman, Performance & Information Management Officer
 Date: 7th February 2013
 Appendices: 5
 Background Papers: N/A
 Reference: