


**Do you think the approach to settlement roles and relationships is right?**



Option	Total	Percent of All
Yes	<a href="#">67</a>	14.73%
No	<a href="#">122</a>	26.81%
Other	<a href="#">54</a>	11.87%
Not Answered	212	46.59%

Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Name	Response ID	Do you support the approach to settlement roles and relationships	Please explain why you think this, including any changes you think should be made to the approach	Officer Response	Proposed Action
E L Allen	BHLF-BHRP-4HAZ-3	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Richard Simon	ANON-BHRP-4HUB-Y	No	<p>4.2.4 Settlement Roles and Relationships</p> <p>We believe that although there is a clear distinction in size, services etc between Primary and Secondary Rural Service Centres they should be combined in one group. Separating them leads to segregation where most development will inevitably take place in the primary centres to the detriment of the secondary centres. The latter may accept development should it enable them to retain facilities such as shops, schools etc. Increasing aging of the population needs a more distributed pattern of centres with greater number of facilities. Although younger more mobile residents shop further afield or via the internet, older people tend to rely on local shops.</p> <p>For this reason we object to the definition of Asfordby, Bottesford, Long Clawson and Waltham on the Wolds as Primary Rural Service Centres and propose that, along with Asfordby Hill, Croxton Kerrial, Frisby on the Wreake, Somerby, Stathern and Wymondham, they should form part of a single class, namely Rural Service Centres.</p> <p>Suggest other villages which you think should be included as a Secondary Rural Service Centre –</p> <p>Perhaps the larger of the Rural Supporter Villages should be considered, where they would strategically support the Borough.</p> <p>4.2.5. ‘Appropriate and proportionate development’ must take account of flood risk and other limiting factors, and should not prevent villages growing to meet the expectations of their residents.</p> <p>4.2.6 (p29) As stated above, we do not agree with the split between Primary and Secondary Rural Service Centres, these should be in one group and a strategy developed to enhance and develop some of these centres across the Borough to provide improved support to Rural supporters and Rural settlements.</p> <p>Constraints on Bottesford include flood risk, limited retail, limited parking, poor public transport (bus and rail), congested access to schools and no indication in the draft Melton Local Plan that Melton Borough Council has any plans or proposals to alleviate these constraints. This is in contrast to the two large developments in Melton Mowbray where proposals are being made for infrastructure and facilities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Ian Martin	ANON-BHRP-4H61-F	No	<p>Amenities in Long Clawson are already over subscribed.They cannot cope with further intake of people.</p> <p>The addition of the housing proposed will turn the village into a small town like Asfordby. It will ruin the village.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

## Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Mrs P Smith	BHLF-BHRP-4HCP-U	No	<p>It does not consider sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (schools, doctors, parking, roads etc)</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Peter & Winifred Hackett	BHLF-BHRP-4HCY-4	No	<p>It does not consider sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (schools, doctors, parking, roads etc)</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Paul Carter	BHLF-BHRP-4HCC-E	No	<p>It does not consider sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (schools, doctors, parking, roads etc)</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

## Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Filomena Scott	BHLF-BHRP-4HCV-1	No	<p>It does not consider sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (schools, doctors, parking, roads etc)</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
George Breed	ANON-BHRP-4HF3-1	Yes	Neighbourhood plan area within Bottesford and Asfordby must be acknowledged as constraints to development. The Locals plans ability to allocate housing numbers within these Primary Rural Centres is constrained.	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Helen Prangley	BHLF-BHRP-4H8E-5	Not Answered	<p>The Emerging Options paper refers to the Melton Settlement Roles and Relationships Study 2015 as the basis for the identification of five settlement roles outlined in Table 1 at page 25 of the paper. This identifies Melton Mowbray as the Main Urban Area, with four of the larger rural settlements, Asfordby, Bottesford, Long Clawson and Waltham on the Wolds, identified as Primary Rural Service Centres. The proposed hierarchy then identifies six settlements as Secondary Rural Service Centres, with other smaller settlements identified as either Rural Supporters or Rural Settlements.</p> <p>Policy SS2 sets out the proposed development strategy for the Borough. This proposes the development of at least 6,125 dwellings over a plan period from 2011-2036, with 65% of the borough's housing needs being directed towards Melton Mowbray. At least 920 dwellings (15% of the borough's housing needs) are to be directed towards the four Primary Rural Service Centres. Secondary Rural Service Centres will accommodate at least 300 dwellings (5%), Rural Supporters at least 615 dwellings (10%) and Rural Settlements 305 dwellings (5%).</p> <p>The overall approach to the proposed settlement hierarchy with the identification of Asfordby, Bottesford, Long Clawson and Waltham on the Wolds as Primary Rural Service Centres is supported. The proposed distribution of 65% of needs to Melton and 35% towards the rural areas is also supported.</p> <p>It is important that the plan makes appropriate provision for the housing needs in the rural areas. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) advises that, to promote sustainable development in rural areas, housing should be located where it will enhance or maintain the vitality of rural communities (para 55).</p> <p>The approach to the identification of the proposed settlement hierarchy is set out in the Settlement Roles, Relationships and Opportunities report 2015. This provides an analysis of available services and facilities in settlements to arrive at an objective assessment of the relative sustainability of different communities. The assessment clearly establishes the settlements of Bottesford, Asfordby, Long Clawson and Waltham on the Wolds as the more</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

			<p>sustainable settlements in the borough outside Melton Mowbray and therefore sustainable locations for further development. Bottesford in particular scores well, offering a good range of local services and facilities, local employment opportunities and access to public transport. In meeting the housing requirements for the rural area, the Primary Rural Service Centres will play an important role delivery the Council’s proposed strategy.</p> <p>Below the Primary Rural Service Centres, the proposed settlement hierarchy identifies 6 Secondary Rural Service Centres, 18 Rural Supporters and 47 Rural Settlements, with a total of 1,220 dwellings directed towards these settlements. The settlement hierarchy proposed for the smaller rural settlements is potentially over-complex and the proposed housing distribution means that housing development is spread to what are relatively small and less sustainable settlements. It would appear that sites are unlikely to be allocated within the smaller settlements and that it is expected that development will come forward through small unallocated sites of 5 dwellings or less. It is wholly unrealistic and undesirable to encourage a proliferation of small scale schemes within or adjoining the less sustainable rural settlements. Such schemes are unlikely to deliver the scale of development envisaged in Policy SS2 and will not deliver the required community benefits associated with larger allocated sites (such as affordable housing, infrastructure improvements or contributions towards education or other community facilities). Whilst the provision of some additional development in rural communities can help to support local services and facilities, there is a risk that the proposed strategy for the distribution of housing in the rural areas will result in less sustainable development.</p> <p>It is considered that the Council should review its approach to the settlement hierarchy and distribution of development for the Rural Supporters and Rural Settlements. A more sustainable distributional strategy would be to direct a greater proportion of the proposed development towards the Primary Rural Service Centres as the most sustainable settlements in the borough outside Melton Mowbray. A review of development opportunities within these larger rural settlements would suggest that there is scope to accommodate at least 20% of the development requirements for the rural area within these more sustainable settlements.</p>		
JOHN RUST	ANON-BHRP-4HUV-K	No	<p>The rural settlements have not been considered in a sustainable way. A tick-box exercise has been carried out that gives marks for the presence of a facility, but does not look at whether that facility has capacity to take more development or capacity to expand without requiring a whole new facility. As a result Long Clawson has been suggested as a Primary Rural Centre, but it does not have sustainable road or public transport infrastructure. It has a full school that does not have sustainable school expansion capacity (the school full to capacity is noted in the Melton Local Plan Issues and Options: Infrastructure Delivery Plan). Long Clawson does not fit with the definition of a Primary or a Secondary Rural Service Centre. It has neither “good access to public transport and opportunities to access employment”(Primary), nor does it have “an hourly bus service to nearby Towns and Cities as well as a regular local service” (as required for a Secondary centre). It has an hourly service that is restricted, only allowing public transport in working hours to Melton.</p> <p>In comparison, the villages of Asfordby and Bottesford, which are Primary Rural Centres, are well-connected with good road and public transport links to numerous employment centres (Asfordby to Leicester, Loughborough and Melton; Bottesford to Nottingham and Grantham). They both also have considerable infrastructure.</p> <p>The suggestion that Long Clawson should be a Primary Rural Centre is a reiteration of the previous designation as a Category 1 village, only the name has changed. In June 2003 Four</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

		<p>hundred and twenty two residents of Long Clawson (more than 50% of the adult population then) signed a petition against this designation. Unfortunately the petition was lost in the MBC fire and was not acted upon. A number of residents who signed then have questioned why a similarly flawed designation is being suggested again for the village. A copy of this petition will be supplied to MBC.</p> <p>The designation of Secondary Rural Centres is also divisive, as the listing excludes large villages where there is capacity and where some development could help the local infrastructure. A better approach would be to designate Asfordby and Bottesford as Primary Rural Centres and to consider Long Clawson and Watham with the Secondary and Rural Supporter villages as pool where small-scale development (up to 5 or 10 houses) will be considered. The rural settlements should accommodate unallocated sites up to 3 houses.</p> <p>The system used to categorise the villages uses a simple tick box system based on current facilities. There is no weighting of these facilities; no consideration of the state of these facilities and whether they can expand or if the owner is near retirement; a failure to consider the capacity of existing infrastructure, such as road traffic, parking, schools, utilities etc., to accommodate an increase in population.</p> <p>Moreover, on examination the process of categorisation seems arbitrary and inconsistent with some villages that have the same or more facilities than others or have the second largest industrial estate to Melton (Queensway- Old Dalby), or border a neighbouring Borough (Rushcliffe) with significant employment opportunities, have been designated as only Rural Supporters.</p> <p>The categorisation method also uses a very narrow definition to consider sustainability so that small hamlets and villages become less sustainable under this system as their population stagnates and ages. With no opportunity to grow organically, even their existing limited facilities will be threatened, such as schools, due to a stagnating or aging population. It also seems odd that MBC would single out a village, within a Parish with a number of villages, as a different category to its close neighbours? Especially when an individual village within such a Parish is not able to produce its own Neighbourhood Plan - it has to be a Parish wide Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <p>There is a major concern that the Local Plan will be obsolete before it is adopted making the Local and Neighbourhood Plans of little worth.</p> <p>For example: Waltham's new housing quota in the New Local Plan for the 20 year period 2016 to 2036 is 67 new houses but planning permission has already been approved by the MBC for 78 new houses (16/00093/REM (8) 15/01011/OUT (45) 14/00777/FUL (25) ) that represents a 23 year supply of housing approved by early 2016 which makes a nonsense of the planning quota's.</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Martin Hart	BHLF-BHRP-4HAR-U	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong.</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Clive Larson	BHLF-BHRP-4HAM-P	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong.</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review



Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

<p>Caroline Thomas</p>	<p>BHLF-BHRP-4HAF-F</p>	<p>Other</p>	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong.</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	<p>Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group</p>	<p>See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review</p>
<p>Barbara Eileen Coffey</p>	<p>BHLF-BHRP-4HA1-T</p>	<p>Other</p>	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong.</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	<p>Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group</p>	<p>See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review</p>

Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Mrs Mo Bowey	BHLF-BHRP-4HA4-W	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong.</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Owen Roberts	BHLF-BHRP-4HA2-U	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong.</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

<p>Elaine Rosemary Bedford</p>	<p>BHLF-BHRP-4HA8-1</p>	<p>Other</p>	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong.</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	<p>Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group</p>	<p>See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review</p>
<p>Craig Hewitt</p>	<p>BHLF-BHRP-4HAQ-T</p>	<p>Other</p>	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong.</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	<p>Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group</p>	<p>See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review</p>

Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

<p>Angela Hewitt</p>	<p>BHLF-BHRP-4HA5-X</p>	<p>Other</p>	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong.</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities</p>	<p>Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group</p>	<p>See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review</p>
<p>Roy Tandy</p>	<p>BHLF-BHRP-4HAJ-K</p>	<p>Other</p>	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong.</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities</p>	<p>Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group</p>	<p>See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review</p>

Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

<p>Anthony James Daley</p>	<p>BHLF-BHRP-4HAP-S</p>	<p>Other</p>	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong.</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities</p>	<p>Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group</p>	<p>See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review</p>
<p>Kevin Hart</p>	<p>BHLF-BHRP-4HAT-W</p>	<p>Other</p>	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong.</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	<p>Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group</p>	<p>See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review</p>

Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Julie Roberts	BHLF-BHRP-4HAY-2	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong.</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Elizabeth Rust	BHLF-BHRP-4HAS-V	Not Answered	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong.</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Mr John Gant	BHLF-BHRP-4HAC-C	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong.</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Mr Simon Gant	BHLF-BHRP-4HAK-M	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong.</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Mrs Annette Gant	BHLF-BHRP-4HAE-E	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong.</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Janet Astill	BHLF-BHRP-4HKX-B	Not Answered	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong.</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review



Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

(N/A)	BHLF-BHRP-4HKD-Q	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Lindsey Taylor	BHLF-BHRP-4HK3-6	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Elizabeth Mary Pearce	BHLF-BHRP-4HKR-5	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong.</p> <p>Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

## Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Mrs J Tomaszewski	BHLF-BHRP-4HKN-1	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Peter and Margaret Daynes	BHLF-BHRP-4HK1-4	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Richard Gowers Heathcote	BHLF-BHRP-4HK2-5	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

## Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

C.D and S.A Willars	BHLF-BHRP-4HK8-B	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Judith Valkhard	BHLF-BHRP-4HK9-C	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Mr & Mrs D Lumb	BHLF-BHRP-4HKB-N	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities..</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Pamela Kananack	BHLF-BHRP-4HKA-M	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities..</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Angela Heathcote	BHLF-BHRP-4HKZ-D	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Janet Catherine Briggs	BHLF-BHRP-4HKQ-4	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

## Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Mr David and Mrs Heather Cox	BHLF-BHRP-4HKJ-W	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities..</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Nicholas Taylor	BHLF-BHRP-4HKT-7	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Margaret M Swain	BHLF-BHRP-4HKS-6	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

## Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Sarah Turner	BHLF-BHRP-4HKU-8	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Hamish Forbes	BHLF-BHRP-4HKK-X	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Mr and Mrs Sansom	BHLF-BHRP-4HKV-9	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

## Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Nicholas Forbes	BHLF-BHRP-4HK7-A	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Mrs Murielle Brown	BHLF-BHRP-4HKE-R	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Rheta Clegg	BHLF-BHRP-4HK6-9	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Mr A H Spridgens and Mrs S M Spridgens	BHLF-BHRP-4HNX-E	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Edward William Walker	BHLF-BHRP-4HND-T	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Dr Robert Andrew Lambert	BHLF-BHRP-4HN3-9	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review



## Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

James Ogleby	BHLF-BHRP-4HNW-D	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Donald James Thompsett	BHLF-BHRP-4HNM-3	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Gordon W Prentice	BHLF-BHRP-4HNF-V	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Paul Girdham	BHLF-BHRP-4HN1-7	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Mr Simon James Mee	BHLF-BHRP-4HN4-A	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Mr George E Kerr	BHLF-BHRP-4HN2-8	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

## Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Lorna McQuillan	BHLF-BHRP-4HN8-E	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Christine Elizabeth Smith	BHLF-BHRP-4HN9-F	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Mr J Buxton	BHLF-BHRP-4HNH-X	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

## Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Faith Howden	BHLF-BHRP-4HNA-Q	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Peter John Briggs	BHLF-BHRP-4HNZ-G	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
John Edwin Brown	BHLF-BHRP-4HNQ-7	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Lauren Richardson	BHLF-BHRP-4HN5-B	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Shirley Robinson	BHLF-BHRP-4HNJ-Z	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Boyle Whittaker	BHLF-BHRP-4HNP-6	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

## Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Linda and Kevin Kealy	BHLF-BHRP-4HNT-A	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Mr Richard James Opis	BHLF-BHRP-4HNY-F	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Giles Phillips	BHLF-BHRP-4HNS-9	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

## Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Gemma Swinscoe	BHLF-BHRP-4HNU-B	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Sally Faulks	BHLF-BHRP-4HNC-S	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Christopher Harding	BHLF-BHRP-4HNK-1	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

## Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Diane Elizabeth Orson	BHLF-BHRP-4HNV-C	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Joan and John Newman	BHLF-BHRP-4HNE-U	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Jocelyn Boles	BHLF-BHRP-4HN6-C	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review



## Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

James Hubbard	BHLF-BHRP-4H7G-6	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Helen Collins	BHLF-BHRP-4H7X-Q	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Kyra Salmon	BHLF-BHRP-4H73-J	Other	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

## Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Elaine Claire Overend	BHLF-BHRP-4H7W-P	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Mark Overend	BHLF-BHRP-4H7R-H	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Malcolm and Patricia Gill	BHLF-BHRP-4H7N-D	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

## Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Natalie Helen Scharf	BHLF-BHRP-4H7F-5	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
John Rees Lawson	BHLF-BHRP-4H71-G	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Caroline Benton	BHLF-BHRP-4H74-K	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Christine Taylor	BHLF-BHRP-4H72-H	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
Mrs Susan Oldham	BHLF-BHRP-4H72-S	No	<p>The Settlements Roles and Relationships approach is flawed; it does not consider the sustainability with respect to transport and the already overloaded facilities (school, doctors, parking, roads etc) in Long Clawson, or the impacts of construction on heritage and flooding. The suggestion that the village should be a Primary Rural Centre is wrong. Development should be concentrated in Melton Mowbray or villages such as Bottesford and Asfordby that are Primary Rural Centres with good road connection, by-passes, good public transport infrastructure to places of work and sufficient facilities (shops, schools, libraries etc) to support growth.</p> <p>The housing allocation for the rural areas should be spread more evenly between all the villages taking account of aspects such as the number of school places available and the need to keep those communities vibrant and sustainable.</p> <p>Large-scale developments of greater than 10 houses should not be considered in the villages to maintain their rural identities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review

Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Mr Guy Longley	BHLF-BHRP-4H86-P	Yes	<p>These representations are made on behalf of K and A Watchorn who have interests in land to the east of Melton Road, Waltham on the Wolds.</p> <p>The Emerging Options Consultation identifies Melton Mowbray as the Main Urban Area, with four of the larger rural settlements, Asfordby, Bottesford, Long Clawson and Waltham on the Wolds, identified as Primary Rural Service Centres. The proposed hierarchy then identifies six settlements as Secondary Rural Service Centres, with other smaller settlements identified as either Rural Supporters or Rural Settlements.</p> <p>Policy SS2 sets out the proposed development strategy for the Borough. This proposes the development of at least 6,125 dwellings over a plan period from 2011-2036, with 65% of the borough’s housing needs being directed towards Melton Mowbray. At least 920 dwellings (15% of the borough’s housing needs) are to be directed towards the four Primary Rural Service Centres. Secondary Rural Service Centres will accommodate at least 300 dwellings (5%), Rural Supporters at least 615 dwellings (10%) and Rural Settlements 305 dwellings (5%). For Waltham on the Wolds, the Options Paper at Table 6, identifies a potential requirement of 100 dwellings for Waltham, with a residual requirement of some 67 dwellings taking account of completions and commitments as at April 2015.</p> <p>A number of potentially suitable site options are identified for Waltham on the Wolds in Table 7, including land to the east of Melton Road (ref MBC/164/15).</p> <p>The overall approach to the proposed settlement hierarchy with the identification of Asfordby, Bottesford, Long Clawson and Waltham on the Wolds as Primary Rural Service Centres is supported. The proposed distribution of 65% of needs to Melton and 35% towards the rural areas is also supported.</p> <p>It is important that the plan makes appropriate provision for the housing needs in the rural areas. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) advises that, to promote sustainable development in rural areas, housing should be located where it will enhance or maintain the vitality of rural communities (para 55).</p> <p>The approach to the identification of the proposed settlement hierarchy is set out in the Settlement Roles, Relationships and Opportunities report 2015. This provides an analysis of available services and facilities in settlements to arrive at an objective assessment of the relative sustainability of different communities. The assessment clearly establishes Waltham on the Wolds as one of the more sustainable settlements in the borough outside Melton Mowbray and therefore a sustainable location for further development. In meeting the housing requirements for the rural area, Waltham on the Wolds will play an important role delivery the Council’s proposed strategy.</p> <p>Below the Primary Rural Service Centres, the proposed settlement hierarchy identifies 6 Secondary Rural Service Centres, 18 Rural Supporters and 47 Rural Settlements, with a total of at least 1,220 dwellings directed towards these settlements. The settlement hierarchy proposed for the smaller rural settlements is potentially over-complex and the proposed housing distribution means that housing development is spread to what are relatively small and less sustainable settlements. Whilst the provision of some additional development in rural communities can help to support local services and facilities, there is a risk that the proposed strategy for the distribution of housing in the rural areas will result in less sustainable development.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	See changes arising from the Settlement Role Review
----------------	------------------	-----	---	--	---

#### Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

			<p>It is considered that the Council should review its approach to the settlement hierarchy and distribution of development for the Rural Supporters and Rural Settlements. A more sustainable distributional strategy would be direct a greater proportion of the proposed development towards the Primary Rural Service Centres, including Waltham on the Wolds, as the most sustainable settlements in the borough outside Melton Mowbray. A review of development opportunities within these larger rural settlements would suggest that there is scope to accommodate at least 20% of the development requirements for the rural area within these more sustainable settlements. In allocating land to meet future requirements the Council should build in sufficient flexibility to deal with any potential slippage from sites.</p>		
--	--	--	---	--	--

Chapter 4: Growing Melton Borough- The Spatial Strategy – Settlement Roles

Richard Simon	ANON-BHRP-4H1W-G	No	<p>We believe that although there is a clear distinction in size, services etc between Primary and Secondary Rural Service Centres they should be combined in one group. Separating them leads to segregation where most development will inevitably take place in the primary centres to the detriment of the secondary centres. The latter may accept development should it enable them to retain facilities such as shops, schools etc. Increasing aging of the population needs a more distributed pattern of centres with greater number of facilities. Although younger more mobile residents shop further afield or via the internet, older people tend to rely on local shops.</p> <p>For this reason we object to the definition of Asfordby, Bottesford, Long Clawson and Waltham on the Wolds as Primary Rural Service Centres and propose that, along with Asfordby Hill, Croxton Kerrial, Frisby on the Wreake, Somerby, Stathern and Wymondham, they should form part of a single class, namely Rural Service Centres.</p> <p>4.2.5. ‘Appropriate and proportionate development’ must take account of flood risk and other limiting factors, and should not prevent villages growing to meet the expectations of their residents.</p> <p>4.2.6 (p30) As stated above, we do not agree with the split between Primary and Secondary Rural Service Centres, these should be in one group and a strategy developed to enhance and develop some of these centres across the Borough to provide improved support to Rural supporters and Rural settlements.</p> <p>Constraints on Bottesford include flood risk, limited retail, limited parking, poor public transport (bus and rail), congested vehicle access to schools and no indication in the draft Melton Local Plan that Melton Borough Council has any plans or proposals to alleviate these constraints. This is in contrast to the two large developments in Melton Mowbray where proposals are being made for infrastructure and facilities.</p>	Comments Noted. Settlement Roles are being reviewed and will be reported separately to working group	
---------------	------------------	----	--	--	--