

COMMUNITY AND SOCIAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

16 SEPTEMBER 2015

REPORT OF HEAD OF CENTRAL SERVICES

CAPITAL PROGRAMME MONITORING TO 31 AUGUST 2015

1.0 PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

1.1 To update the Committee on the progress of schemes within the Capital Programme to 31 August 2015.

2.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 The progress made on each capital scheme be noted attached as Appendix A.

3.0 KEY ISSUES

3.1 Under the Capital Programme Project Appraisal System, monitoring of actual capital expenditure against authorised expenditure is undertaken on a regular basis and reported to the Management Team in its capacity as the Council's Programme Board. Appendix A gives details of the spending against budget for all schemes within this Committee up to 31 August which is the latest available information at the agenda date.

4.0 POLICY AND CORPORATE IMPLICATIONS

4.1 Policy and corporate implications were addressed in setting the current year's budget. There are no further policy and corporate implications arising from this report.

5.0 FINANCIAL AND OTHER RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

5.1 The financial implications for each scheme are as set out in Appendix A

5.2 The overall position for all capital schemes falling within this Committee is as set out below.

Capital Schemes	Allocated Funding 2015/16 Budget	Authorised Funding 2015/16 (Business Case Approved)	Actual Expenditure to 31 Aug 2015	Year End Forecast	Year End Variance (-) Underspend
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
General Expenses	1,423	1,400	603	1,441	18
HRA	3,587	3,587	358	3,596	9

The forecast is generally in line with the budget with schemes progressing although much expenditure has yet to be incurred. With regards to General Expenses, a £23k overspend has been incurred on the Leisure Vision project, due to Stamp duty not being included in the budget. This has been partially offset a predicted underspend of £5k on the Waterfield Leisure Centre – Car Parking Extension.

6.0 LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

6.1 Legal implications/powers were addressed in setting the current year's programme. There are no further legal implications arising from this report.

7.0 COMMUNITY SAFETY

7.1 Individual schemes could have links to community safety issues. These should be covered in any associated reports and forms linked to those schemes as they progress through the decision making process.

8.0 EQUALITIES

8.1 Individual schemes could have links to equalities issues. These should be covered in any associated reports and forms linked to those schemes as they progress through the decision making process.

9.0 RISKS

9.1 These should be covered in any associated reports and forms linked to those schemes as they progress through the decision making process.

10.0 CLIMATE CHANGE

10.1 Individual schemes could have links to climate change issues. These should be covered in any associated reports and forms linked to those schemes as they progress through the decision making process.

11.0 CONSULTATION

11.1 Consultation takes place between project managers and the Accountancy Assistant – Community Services to determine the information included in Appendix A. Reports are also prepared on a quarterly basis for the Programme Board.

12.0 WARDS AFFECTED

12.1 To varying degrees all wards are affected by capital schemes within this Committee

Contact Officer: Emma Peters

Date: 26 August 2015

Appendices: Appendix A – Capital Programme Progress Report – Aug 2015

Background Papers: Oracle Financial Reports
Budget Holder Comments on Performance

Reference: X: Ctte,Council & SubCttes/CSA/2015-16/16-9-15/DG-Capital Prog. Monitoring-
Aug 2015