

Appointing person: Frequently asked questions

Question	Response
1. What is an appointing person?	<p>Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited (PSAA) has been specified as an appointing person under the Local Audit (Appointing Person) Regulations 2015 and has the power to make auditor appointments for audits of the accounts from 2018/19 on behalf of principal local government bodies that opt in, in accordance with the Regulations. Eligible bodies are principal local government bodies listed in schedule 2 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. This includes county councils, district councils, London Borough councils, unitary authorities, metropolitan councils, police bodies, fire and rescue authorities, joint authorities, combined authorities, national park authorities, conservation boards, PTEs, waste authorities, and the GLA and its functional bodies.</p> <p>The 'appointing person' is sometimes referred to as the sector-led body.</p> <p>PSAA is a company owned by the LGA's Improvement and Development Agency (IDeA) and was established to operate the transitional arrangements following closure of the Audit Commission.</p>
2. When will invitations to opt in be issued?	<p>The date by which principal authorities will need to opt into the appointing person arrangement is not yet finalised. The aim is to award contracts to audit firms by June 2017, giving six months to consult with authorities on appointments before the 31 December 2017 deadline. We anticipate that invitations to opt in will be issued before December 2016 at the latest.</p>

Question	Response
	<p>Authorities will have a minimum period of eight weeks to respond to the invitation.</p> <p>In order to maximise the potential economies of scale from agreeing large contracts with firms, and to manage any auditor independence issues, PSAA needs as much certainty as possible about the volume and location of work it is able to offer to firms. Our provisional timetable suggests that we will need to start preparing tender documentation early in 2017, so we will need to know by then which authorities want to be included.</p>
<p>3. Who can accept the invitation to opt in?</p>	<p>In accordance with Regulation 19 of the Local Audit (Appointing Person) Regulations 2015, a principal authority will need to make the decision to opt in at full council (authority meeting as a whole), except where the authority is a corporation sole (such as a police and crime commissioner), in which case the function must be exercised by the holder of the office.</p>
<p>4. Can we join after it has been set up or do we have to join at the beginning?</p>	<p>The Regulations require that once the invitations to opt in have been issued, there will be a minimum period of eight weeks for you to indicate acceptance of the invitation. One of the main benefits of a an appointing person approach is the ability to achieve economies of scale as a result of being able to offer larger volumes of work. The greater the number of participants we have signed up at the outset, the better the economies of scale we are likely to achieve. This will not prevent authorities from joining the sector-led arrangements in later years, but they will need to make their own arrangements to appoint an auditor in the interim. In order to be in the best position we would encourage as many authorities as possible to commit by accepting the invitation within the specified timeframe.</p>

Question	Response
5. Will membership be free for existing members of the LGA?	The option to join the appointing person scheme will be open to all principal local government authorities listed under Schedule 2 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. There will not be a fee to join the sector-led arrangements. The audit fees that opted-in bodies will be charged will cover the costs to PSAA of appointing auditors and managing the arrangements. We believe that audit fees achieved through large contracts will be lower than the costs that individual authorities will be able to negotiate. In addition, by opting into the PSAA offer, authorities will avoid the costs of their own procurement and the requirement to set up an auditor panel with independent members.
6. How will we be able to influence the development of the appointing person scheme and associated contracts with audit firms?	We have not yet finalised the governance arrangements and we are considering the options, including how best to obtain stakeholder input. We are considering establishing a stakeholder engagement panel or advisory panel which can comment on our proposals. PSAA continues to work in partnership with the LGA in setting up the appointing person scheme and you can feed in comments and observations to PSAA by emailing generalenquiries@psaa.co.uk and via the LGA and their Principal Advisors.
7. Will there be standard contract terms and conditions?	The audit contracts between PSAA and the audit firms will require firms to deliver audits compliant with the National Audit Office (NAO) Code of Audit Practice. We are aware that authorities would like to understand how performance and delivery will be monitored and managed. This is one of the issues that could be discussed with the stakeholder advisory panel (see Q6).
8. What will be the length of the contracts?	The optimal length of contract between PSAA and firms has not been decided. We would welcome views on what the sector

Question	Response
	considers the optimal length of audit contract. We anticipate that somewhere between three and five years would be appropriate.
9. In addition to the Code of Audit Practice requirements set out by the NAO, will the contract be flexible to enable authorities to include the audit of wholly owned companies and group accounts?	<p>Local authority group accounts are part of the accounts produced under the CIPFA SORP and are subject to audit in line with the NAO Code of Audit Practice. They will continue to be part of the statutory audit.</p> <p>Company audits are subject to the provisions of the Companies Act 2006 and are not covered by the Local Audit (Appointing Person) Regulations 2015. Local authority companies will be able to appoint the same audit firm as PSAA appoints to undertake the principal body audit, should they so wish.</p>
10. Will bodies that opt in be able to seek information from potential suppliers and undertake some form of evaluation to choose a supplier?	PSAA will run the tendering exercise, and will evaluate bids and award contracts. PSAA will consult authorities on individual auditor appointments. The appointment of an auditor independently of the body to be audited is an important feature of the appointing person arrangements and will continue to underpin strong corporate governance in the public sector.
11. Will the price be fixed or will there be a range of prices?	The fee for the audit of a body that opts in will reflect the size, audit risk and complexity of the work required. PSAA will establish a system for setting the fee which is fair to all opted-in authorities. As a not-for-profit organisation, PSAA will be able to return any surpluses to participating authorities after all costs have been met.
12. We have shared service arrangements with our neighbouring bodies and we are looking to ensure that we share the same auditor. Will the appointing person scheme allow for this?	PSAA will be able to make appointments to all principal local government bodies listed in Schedule 2 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 that are 'relevant authorities' and not excluded as a result of being smaller authorities, for example parish councils.

Question	Response
	<p>In setting up the new arrangements, one of our aims is to make auditor appointments that take account of joint working and shared service arrangements. Requests for the same auditor as other authorities will need to be balanced with auditor independence considerations. As we have set out in our prospectus, auditors must be independent of the bodies they audit. PSAA will have an obligation under the provisions of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and in compliance with the Ethical Standards issued by the Financial Reporting Council to ensure that every auditor appointment it makes passes this test. We will need information from opted-in authorities on potential independence considerations and joint working arrangements, and will also need information on independence issues from the audit firms. Risks to auditor independence include, for example, an audit firm having previously been engaged to advise on a major procurement which could, of course, later be subject to audit.</p>
<p>13. We have a joint committee which no longer has a statutory requirement to have an external auditor but has agreed in the interests of all parties to continue to engage one. Is it possible to use this process as an option to procure the external auditor for the joint committee?</p>	<p>The requirement for joint committees to produce statutory accounts ceased after production of the 2014/15 accounts and they are therefore not listed in Schedule 2. Joint committees that have opted to produce accounts voluntarily and obtain non-statutory assurance on them will need to make their own local arrangements.</p>
<p>14. How will the appointing person scheme ensure audit firms are not over-stretched and that the competition in the market place is increased?</p>	<p>The number of firms eligible to undertake local public audit will be regulated through the Financial Reporting Council and the recognised Supervisory Bodies (RSBs). Only appropriately accredited firms will be able to bid for appointments whether that is through PSAA or an auditor panel. The seven firms appointed by PSAA and the Audit Commission generally</p>

Question	Response
	<p>maintain a dedicated public sector practice with staff trained and experienced in public sector work.</p> <p>One of the advantages of the appointing person option is to make appointments that help to ensure that each successful firm has a sufficient quantum of work to make it possible for them to invest in public sector specific training, maintain a centre of excellence or hub that will mean:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • firms have a regional presence; • greater continuity of staff input; and • a better understanding the local political, economic and social environment.
<p>15. Will the appointing person scheme contract with a number of different audit firms and how will they be allocated to authorities?</p>	<p>PSAA will organise the contracts so that there is a minimum number of firms appointed nationally. The minimum is probably four or five (depending on the number of bodies that opt in). This is required, not just to ensure competition and capacity, but because each firm is required to comply with the FRC's ethical standards. This means that an individual firm may not be appointable for 'independence' reasons, for example, because they have undertaken consultancy work at an audited body. PSAA will consult on appointments that allow each firm a balanced portfolio of work subject to independence considerations.</p>
<p>16. What will be the process to feed in opinions from customers of current auditors if there are issues?</p>	<p>PSAA will seek feedback on its auditors as part of its engagement with the sector. PSAA will continue to have a clear complaints process and will also undertake contract monitoring of the firms it appoints.</p>
<p>17. What is the timetable for set up and key decisions?</p>	<p>We expect the key points in the timetable to be broadly:</p>

Question	Response
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • establish an overall strategy for procurement - by 31 October 2016; • achieve 'sign-up' of scheme members - by early January 2017; • invite tenders from audit firms - by 31 March 2017; • award contracts - by 30 June 2017; • consult on and make final auditor appointments - by 31 December 2017; and • consult on, propose audit fees and publish fees - by 31 March 2018.
18. What are the terms of reference of the appointing person?	PSAA is wholly owned by the IDeA (the IDeA is wholly owned by the LGA). PSAA will continue to operate as an independent company, although there will be changes to its governance arrangements and its founding documents to reflect the fact that it will be an appointing person rather than a transitional body.
19. Will the appointing person take on all audit panel roles and therefore mitigate the need for there to be one in each individual authority?	Opting into the appointing person scheme will remove the need to set up an auditor panel. This is set out in the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the Local Audit (Appointing Person) Regulations 2015.

Question	Response
<p>20. What will be the arrangements for overseeing the quality of audit work undertaken by the audit firms appointed by the appointing person?</p>	<p>PSAA will only contract with firms which have a proven track record in undertaking public audit work. In accordance with the 2014 Act, firms must be registered with one of the chartered accountancy institutes acting in the capacity of a Recognised Supervisory Body (RSB). The quality of their work will be subject to scrutiny by both the RSB and the Financial Reporting Council (FRC). Current indications are that fewer than ten large firms will register meaning that small local firms will not be eligible to be appointed to local public audit roles.</p> <p>PSAA will ensure that firms maintain the appropriate registration and will liaise closely with RSBs and the FRC to ensure that any concerns are detected at an early stage and addressed effectively in the new regime. The company will take a close interest in feedback from audited bodies and in the rigour and effectiveness of firms' own quality assurance arrangements, recognising that these represent some of the earliest and most important safety nets for identifying and remedying any problems arising. We will liaise with the NAO to help ensure that guidance to auditors is updated when necessary.</p>