Reference:	10/00531/FUL
Date Submitted:	13.07.2010
Applicant:	Mr Fionda
Location:	Land to the rear of Berkley Arms, 59 Main Street, Wymondham, LE14 2AG
Proposal:	Proposed new dwelling

### Introduction:-

This application seeks planning permission for the erection of a very substantial detached house with 3 large bedrooms, all en-suite and living accommodation and which is located to the rear of the Public House and the post office, which front on to Main Street. The dwelling would be served from the public house car park and the car parking is close to a mature tree. The site is located on the edge of the Village Envelope and within the Conservation Area for Wymondham.

The application is presented to the Committee at the request of the Local Member as the application raises some unique challenges to the interpretation of policy.

The application is being considered as a 'market dwelling' as although the applicants Design and Access statement indicates that the building might also be used as staff accommodation (a house in multi-occupation) or as Bed & Breakfast type accommodation for the public house, the fee for consideration of alternative development has not been paid and the consultations have been undertaken on the basis that the proposal is for a dwelling.

### The main issues are considered to be:

.....

- The compatibility of the proposal with PPS3 objectives to address local needs
- Impact on the Conservation Area

# **Relevant History:-**

No relevant History on this site

# **Planning Policies:-**

**PPS1** - Delivering Sustainable Development - planning authorities should promote more efficient use of land through higher density development and suitably located previously developed land and buildings.

**PPS3** - amplifies the advice set out in PPS1, and particularly says that housing should be developed in suitable locations, which offer a good range of community facilities and with good access to jobs, key services and infrastructure. The priority for development in such locations should be previously developed land, where appropriate. The amended statement has removed residential garden are from the brownfield classification. PPS3 also sets out clear advice on determining planning applications, stating that we should have regard to the suitability of a site for housing (including its environmental sustainability) and that we should ensure that proposals are in line with housing objectives and do not undermine wider policy PPS3 specifically states that "Developers should bring forward proposals for market housing which reflect demand and the profile of households requiring market housing, in order to sustain mixed Communities" (Para 23). In relation to market housing PPS3 states that "One of the Government's key objectives is to

provide a variety of high quality market housing. This includes addressing any shortfalls in the supply of market housing and encouraging the managed replacement of housing, where appropriate. Local Planning Authorities should plan for the full range of market housing. In particular, they should take account of the need to deliver low-cost market housing as part of the housing mix" (Para 25 & 26) objectives.

**PPS 5** - states that authorities should pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character and appearance of the Conservation Area.

### Melton Local Plan (saved policies):

<u>Policies OS1 and BE1</u>:- Allow for development within the village envelope of appropriate design providing:-

- the form, character and appearance of the settlement are not adversely affected;
- the form, size, scale, mass, materials and architectural detailing of the development is in keeping with the character of the locality;
- the development would not cause undue loss of residential privacy, outlook and amenities as enjoyed by occupants of existing dwellings in the vicinity; and,
- satisfactory access and parking provision can be made available.

<u>Policy H6</u> :- residential development within village envelopes will be confined to small groups of dwellings, single plots or the change of use of existing buildings.

<u>Policy BE2</u> :- Requires development within Conservation Areas to be of high standard of design that will enhance the traditional character

**Melton LDF Core Strategy:** seeks to focus development in Melton Mowbray with a small balance (20%) in the surrounding Borough, with provision/contribution of 40% affordable housing from all developments, and expectations to produce mixed, integrated housing developments and meet local needs by addressing identified imbalances in housing stock in all locations. The strategy identifies villages by virtue of a hierarchy reflecting their sustainability and, therefore, suitability for development. Wymondham is now identified as a Category 2 village that still provides a reasonably sustainable community and is suitable for small-scale infill development within the existing built form.

### **Consultations:-**

Consultation reply	Assessment of Head of Regulatory Services
Highway Authority – The vehicular access serving	Whilst the access arrangements are not ideal, the car
the site is undesirable, as it lacks adequate width,	park entrance is adequate for the provision of an
surfacing and drainage, however given the existing	access to a single dwelling.
level of traffic using it, it would be <b>difficult to</b>	
sustain a reason for refusal as the proposal is	There are highway concerns regarding visibility at
unlikely to generate a significant increase in	the parking area for the dwelling, which should be
traffic using the access.	relocated, both for highway safety reasons as well
	as concerns in relation to the health of the mature
The location of the drive serving the dwelling off	tree. This could be controlled by means of a
the car park access is unsuitable as it would lack	condition.
suitable visibility splays, however as this is all on	
private land and will not affect the public highway it	
is not something that could base a reason for refusal	
on, however it is something that perhaps you may	
want to consider in terms of the safety of the users	
of the private drive. If possible it would be	
preferable to move the parking area a few metres	
further away from the boundary with the Post Office	

in order to improve visibility out of the access.	
There are concerns that the access to the proposed	
dwelling restrict/remove parking for the public house, however the location of the access is such	
that it is unlikely to affect the parking provision and	
is therefore acceptable.	
Advises a condition	
LCC Archaeology –have checked the site against	Noted
the Leicestershire & Rutland Historic Environment	
Record (HER) and do not feel that any	
archaeological work is required as part of the	
scheme.	
<b>MBC Conservation Officer</b> – The site is currently	Whilst the basic design of the dwelling is acceptable
the beer garden to the pub which appears to be well	in conservation area terms (subject to appropriate
tended and partially screened by trees etc. Although	materials), the dormers are alien features that should
not a conservation issue per se the loss of the	be amended or deleted in favour of a simple eaves
facility is regretted. There is however a large car	line.
parking area to the rear of the PH and part of that could perhaps be utilised as an outdoor seating area.	
could perhaps be utilised as all outdoor seating area.	
The proposed dwelling will be set to the rear of the	
plot and as such part of the open nature as well as	
the majority of the trees and some of the boundary	
treatment (fences, walls etc) will be retained.	
In design terms the building reflects some features	
of other local properties, notably the plinth and	
eaves detail. The alien features however are the	
pitched roof dormers, there are very few dormer	
windows in the vicinity, those on the nearby Manor	
House have a flat roof –therefore it is suggested that	
monopitch roofed dormers would improve the	
design in this case as they would merge better into the roofline.	
the roomine.	
Materials have been chosen to respect the local	
vernacular ie; natural stone walls, brick window	
heads and slate roof.	
The building will of course be set back from the	
highway behind the Post Office and Public House	
and as such will barely be visible from the highway	
or beyond the site confines.	
Does not feel that this building will adversely affec	
the setting of the designated heritage asset	
(conservation area) and raises no objections.	
MBC Housing Policy Officer - PPS3 specifically	The proposal, albeit of 3 bedrooms, is a very
states that "Developers should bring forward	substantial property with the bedrooms being very
proposals for market housing which reflect demand	large (one is 5.82m x 4.46m in size)
and the profile of households requiring market	To be about from the state of t
housing, in order to sustain mixed Communities" (Para 23) In relation to market housing PPS3 states	It is therefore consider that this fails to meet identified local need for 2 bedroomed or smaller 3
(Para 23). In relation to market housing PPS3 states	identified local field for 2 deditionined of smaller 3

that "One of the Government's key objectives is to provide a variety of high quality market housing. This includes addressing any shortfalls in the supply of market housing and encouraging the managed replacement of housing, where appropriate. Local Planning Authorities should plan for the full range of market housing. In particular, they should take account of the need to deliver low-cost market housing as part of the housing mix" (Para 25 & 26). Paragraph 3.1.11 of the East Midlands Regional Plan also states that local authorities should have a strategic vision of the kinds of communities they wish to foster, in particular neighbourhoods which ensure that in the market sector a reasonable mix of housing is available, addressing any identified imbalance.	<ul> <li>bedroomed dwellings, and the proposal therefore conflicts with PPS 3 advice.</li> <li>The Council has regularly resisted the erection of larger 'executive' style units of which there is an over-supply in order to address the housing imbalance.</li> <li>This issue is made slightly more complex because 'housing need' is often discussed in terms of the number of bedrooms. However, this is normally in the context of conventional approaches to house design and commensurate internal layouts.</li> <li>However, the objective relates to the housing market and exceptionally large houses – even of</li> </ul>
David Couttie Associates conducted a Housing Market Analysis for Melton Borough Council (Housing Stock Analysis 2006-2011; 2006) which clearly demonstrated that there is a surplus of larger private market homes and a significant lack of smaller sized properties within Melton Borough. Future development has therefore to address the imbalance of stock type and size, both by tenure and location, to create a more sustainable and balanced housing market. This will require a bias in favour of small units to address both the current shortfall and future demographic and household formation change which will result in an increase in small households and downsizing of dwellings.	they contain the appropriate number of bedrooms – are not considered to fulfil identified needs.
The assessment found within the Rural East of the borough that there is limited need for additional market housing to 2011, the need for additional market housing in the area relates mainly to the need for additional 2 and 3 bedroom units; there is only a significant surplus of larger sized properties in the area. There are limited opportunities within village envelopes for significant new residential developments and therefore residential developments in the area should contribute towards the creation of a mixed community and have regard to local market housing needs.	
The application is for a substantial 3 bedroom detached property; the dwelling has a floor area of approximately 170 sqm with each bedroom having ensuite facilities. The housing market analysis shows a significant surplus of such larger properties in the area. However, the design and access statement submitted with the application describes the intention of the proposal to provide ancillary living or bed and breakfast accommodation in association with The Berkeley Arms.	The consideration of the application is on the basis of the submission which is for an open market

The Leicester and Leicestershire Strategic Housing Market Assessment (Bline Housing, 2009) supports the findings of the Housing Market Analysis and states that controls need to be established to protect	dwelling. If the applicant wishes for other developments to be considered, then the appropriate application should be submitted for consideration.
the Melton Borough (particularly its rural settlements) from the over development of large executive housing, and to encourage a balanced supply of suitable family housing (for middle and lower incomes), as well as housing for smaller households (both starter homes and for downsizing). It continues to state that the undersupply of suitable smaller sized dwellings needs to be addressed to take account of shrinking household size which if not addressed will exacerbate under-occupation and lead to polarised, unmixed communities due to middle and lower income households being unable to access housing in the most expensive and the sparsely populated rural areas.	be sublimed for consideration.
The dwelling proposed by the application, if contributing to the local housing market, is not supported as it would add to the local imbalance of the market through the further addition of a larger property and as such is considered inappropriate. On this basis the application is recommended for refusal as the local over supply of larger family accommodation and the under supply of bungalow accommodation would be further exacerbated, contrary to PPS3. However, if the application is permitted as ancillary accommodation associated with the Berkeley Arms then a condition should be sought to ensure that the proposal remains ancillary and does not further exacerbate local imbalance in the housing market.	The consideration of the application is on the basis of the submission which is for an open market dwelling. If the applicant wishes for other developments to be considered, then the appropriate application should be submitted for consideration.
The Housing Market Analysis found a surplus of larger private market housing in the Borough with a need within the Rural North of the Borough relating to smaller sized units.	
Parish Council – No objections	Noted

**Representations:** A site notice was posted and neighbouring properties consulted. Consultation period ended on 19 August 2010 and 2 letters of representation have been received at the time of writing this report which state:-

Representation	Assessment of Head of Regulatory Services
Inappropriate Materials/Building too large	
Local materials are ironstone and slate and not brick/tile as stated. Dwelling is out-of-scale with Nos 53 & 57 Main Street, being twice the floor area and taller	The area is a mixed one and not exclusively ironstone/slate. The materials proposed are not inappropriate.

<b>Loss of Light</b> The mid-point of the north bedroom window of No53 would be 6.75m from the west elevation of the dwelling.	Due to the orientation of the proposed dwelling and location in relation to the neighbours, it is not considered that any appreciable loss of light would result that would warrant a refusal of permission.
<b>Loss of Privacy</b> The west elevation of the new dwelling will over-look the back door, north windows and garden of No 53 and would be 1.3m higher than the first floor windows of No 53	Due to the distances between the proposed dwelling and the neighbours, it is not considered that any appreciable loss of privacy would result that would warrant a refusal of permission.
Loss of Residential Amenity The closest bedroom of No 53 is occupied by an 82 year old resident and we are concerned about noise. Whilst we have only objected to noise from the Pub on one occasion, the location of the parking next to No 57, would give rise to noise and disturbance from vehicle exhausts.	Due to the distance involved and the nearby public house car park, it is not considered that a refusal on noise could be justified.
<b>Need for development not proven</b> There has been practically no use of the Berkley Arms paddock by caravans, so question the need for B & B accommodation.	The application under consideration is a dwelling and not a B & B use. However, it would not be a requirement to 'justify' a need as Government advice encourages tourist uses within larger villages.
Protection of Recreation area Proposal is on the beer garden which has a view of the windmill and has been used over the years for village centre venues, bowls, tennis, skittles and family activities and it is an important recreational facility. Loss would be contrary to 11.24 – many areas of open space contribute to the texture of the urban fabric and P.P.G 17 says they should be taken in to account when considering the communities needs when development is proposed. Policy BE12 identifies these areas.	The site is not an area identified by Policy BE12 as a Protected Open Area and so has no statutory protection. It's use for recreation was an informal arrangement.

# Other material considerations (not raised through consultation of representation)

Considerations	Assessment of Head of Regulatory Services
Impact on the street scene	The development is set well back from the public highway, although glimpses would be seen through gaps in the built fabric and from other view-points and views in to and out of the Conservation Area are material considerations.
	Changes to the design of the dwelling could readily be made to improve the overall appearance and create a design that more closely reflects the traditional character and materials of construction will be particularly important in this location.

Impact on Mature Tree	The proposed car parking for the dwelling is located
	directly above the root bowl of a mature tree that
	adds to the street scene and views in to the site. It is
	considered necessary, in order to ensure the future
	health and survival of the tree, that the parking is
	relocated, although there is adequate land available
	to allow for this and it could be secured through a
	condition

## **Conclusion**

It is considered that the main issue for the Committee is to balance the breach of the PPS 3 and the Melton Core Strategy policy of meeting local needs for smaller units/not adding to the over-supply of larger dwellings, with the applicants desire to provide a substantial property The issue of alternative uses (B & B accommodation for the public house and staff accommodation) are not being considered as part of this application, which is for an open market dwelling of substantial proportions. There is also a concern that the design of the proposed dwelling is not appropriate for the Conservation Area status of the site, and the parking area under the tree could affect its long-term health and therefore impact on the Conservation area.

Whilst the general principle of a dwelling in this location would be appropriate, it is considered that any new dwelling should be of a more modest scale, of simpler design (deleting the dormers) and with the parking moved elsewhere on the site to protect the tree and improve visibility, Such an amended design could then be supported, although this would be a significant amendment from the current proposal and would need to be the subject of a resubmitted application.

In view of the above justification the proposal is recommended for refusal.

## **RECOMMENDATION:-** Refusal for the following reasons:-

- 1. The proposal relates to a substantial 'executive' style dwelling, adding to the over-supply of such dwellings as identified in the Councils Housing Market Assessment surveys and as such it does not meet the local demand for smaller 2 and 3 bedroomed dwellings and the proposal therefore fails to reflect the guidance contained within P.P.S 3 Housing, and conflicts with the requirements of The Melton Local Development Framework Core Strategy (Preferred Options) Jan 2008, which seeks to meet the Local Housing need and not add to the over-supply of larger units.
- 2. The proposed development introduces an alien feature in the form of dormer windows and therefore fails to reflect the locally distinctive character as required by P.P.S 1, and the proposed car parking would be likely to harm the long-term health of the mature tree, resulting in its loss. The proposal would therefore be harmful to the character of the Conservation Area, contrary to Policies OS1, BE1 and BE2 of the Adopted Melton Local Plan.

### **Contact: Rob Forrester**

23 August 2010