AGENDA ITEM 6

RURAL, ECONOMIC & ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

2 NOVEMBER 2016

REPORT OF HEAD OF CENTRAL SERVICES

CAPITAL PROGRAMME MONITORING TO 30 SEPTEMBER 2016

1.0 PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

1.1 To update the Committee on the progress of schemes within the Capital Programme to 30 September 2016.

2.0 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

2.1 The progress made on each capital scheme at Appendix A be noted

3.0 KEY ISSUES

3.1 Under the Capital Programme Project Appraisal System, monitoring of actual capital expenditure against authorised expenditure is undertaken on a regular basis and reported to the Management Team in its capacity as the Council's Programme Board. Appendix A gives details of the spending against budget for all schemes within this Committee up to 30 September which is the latest available information at the agenda date.

4.0 POLICY AND CORPORATE IMPLICATIONS

4.1 Policy and corporate implications were addressed in setting the current year's budget. There are no further policy and corporate implications arising from this report.

5.0 FINANCIAL AND OTHER RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 The financial implications for each scheme are as set out in Appendix A
- 5.2 The overall position for all capital schemes falling within this Committee is as set out below.

Capital Schemes	Allocated Funding 2016/17 Budget	Authorised Funding 2016/17 (Business Case Approved)	Actual Expenditure to 30 Sep 2016	Year End Forecast	Year End Variance Underspend (-)
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
General Expenses	5,326	5,268	1,449	5,394	68

The forecast is generally in line with the budget with schemes progressing although much expenditure has yet to be incurred. There are three exceptions to this:

- As previously reported there are two schemes for which the budgets can be reduced; Environmental Maintenance Vehicle / Frontline Service Machine Replacement (£11k) following actual costs being lower than budgeted for; and Lighting on Burton Road Bridge (£6k) for which the expenditure is not deemed capital. The capital programme 2016-2021 report also on this agenda reflects these changes;
- The cattle market re-development external project consultants, Pick Everard, have provided an updated cashflow which shows a shift in the profile of spend. It is therefore proposed that the capital programme is changed in line with this as outlined in the revised capital programme 2016-2021 report also on this agenda. As with any project of this size the timing of works, i.e. if there are any delays or works brought forward, could see further changes in the profiling of the budget. If any

other changes materialise, a report will be brought back to the relevant committee as necessary.

Members may wish to note that to date the Council has claimed back the full 2016/17 expenditure of £1.369m on the cattle market re-development project through the associated grant funding.

A separate report on the cattle market re-development is also on this agenda which provides more information around the project.

6.0 LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

6.1 Legal implications/powers were addressed in setting the current year's programme. There are no further legal implications arising from this report.

7.0 COMMUNITY SAFETY

7.1 Individual schemes could have links to community safety issues. These should be covered in any associated reports and forms linked to those schemes as they progress through the decision making process.

8.0 EQUALITIES

8.1 Individual schemes could have links to equalities issues. These should be covered in any associated reports and forms linked to those schemes as they progress through the decision making process.

9.0 RISKS

9.1 These should be covered in any associated reports and forms linked to those schemes as they progress through the decision making process.

10.0 CLIMATE CHANGE

10.1 Individual schemes could have links to climate change issues. These should be covered in any associated reports and forms linked to those schemes as they progress through the decision making process.

11.0 CONSULTATION

11.1 Consultation takes place between project managers and the Financial Accountant to determine the information included in Appendix A. Reports are also prepared on a quarterly basis for the Programme Board.

12.0 WARDS AFFECTED

12.1 To varying degrees all wards are affected by capital schemes within this Committee

Contact Officer	Natasha Bailey
Date:	10 October 2016
Appendices:	Appendix A – Capital Programme Progress Report – Sep 2016
Background Papers:	Oracle Financial Reports
	Budget Holder Comments on Performance
Reference:	X:\Cttee, Council & Sub Cttees\REEA\2016-17\021116/DG-Capital Programme
	Monitoring Apr to Sep