

RURAL, ECONOMIC & ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

2 NOVEMBER 2016

REPORT OF HEAD OF CENTRAL SERVICES

CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2016-21

1.0 PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

- 1.1 To determine the Committee's Capital Programme for 2016-21 based on a review of spending in the current year's programme and schemes included in the programme for later years.

2.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 **The Committee approves the revised Capital Programme for 2016-21 attached as Appendix A, and the revised funding profile for the Cattle Market Re-Development project as outlined in paragraph 3.2.2 and;**
- 2.2 **Members consider the schemes being submitted in 2016-21 for funding as part of the budget setting process to ensure they meet the Council's priorities.**

3.0 KEY ISSUES

3.1 Capital Programme 2016-21

- 3.1.1 The Capital Programme 2016-21 for this Committee is attached at Appendix A. The Programme gives the total cost of each scheme, the spending profile, the amounts authorised to be spent and the stage each scheme has reached within the Capital Programme Project Appraisal System.

3.2 Changes to the Programme

- 3.2.1 The changes that have been made to the Capital Programme for the current and future years are set out within Appendix A.

- 3.2.2 A summary of the proposed changes are as follows:

- EMT Vehicle/Frontline Service Machine Replacement – 2016/17 budget reduced by £11k to reflect actual costs lower than budgeted for, as previously reported to this Committee. As the project was funded from the renewal and repairs fund the underspend will be added back to this reserve;
- Lighting on Burton Road Bridge – Scheme removed from the 2016/17 programme (£6k) as works deemed revenue, as previously reported to this Committee. As the project was funded from capital receipts, the underspend will be added back to this balance;
- Cattle Market Phase 1 Securing the Future – As with any large project, the profiling of spend has changed following the latest cashflow received from the external project consultants, Pick Everard. It is therefore proposed that the programme be changed as follows :

Existing programme:

- 2016/17: £5.146m to be funded through £1.890m capital receipts, £3.256m contribution from third parties
- 2017/18: £0.270m to be funded through £0.210m capital receipts and £0.060m through existing revenue budgets

Proposed revised programme:

- 2016/17: £5.231m to be funded through £1.915m capital receipts, £3.256m contribution from third parties and £0.060m through existing revenue budgets
- 2017/18: £185k funded through capital receipts

The revised profile still prioritises the drawdown of the grant funding and allows this to be spent in line with the grant terms by 31st March 2017.

- Cattle Market Car Park – removed from the 2017/18 programme (£146k) pending a new mandate to be submitted later in the year for revised works. As this was an unfunded project there is no impact on the reserves and balances.

3.2.3 The above changes result in £343k of schemes being included in the capital programme for 2017-18, with no new schemes proposed.

4.0 POLICY AND CORPORATE IMPLICATIONS

4.1 These should be covered in any associated reports and forms linked to these schemes as they progress through the decision making process.

5.0 FINANCIAL AND OTHER RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

5.1 All financial and resource implications have been addressed within Section 3.

6.0 LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

6.1 Individual schemes could have links to legal issues. These should be covered in any associated reports and forms linked to these schemes as they progress through the decision making process.

7.0 COMMUNITY SAFETY

7.1 Individual schemes could have links to community safety issues. These should be covered in any associated reports and forms linked to these schemes as they progress through the decision making process.

8.0 EQUALITIES

8.1 Individual schemes could have links to equalities issues. These should be covered in any associated reports and forms linked to these schemes as they progress through the decision making process.

9.0 RISKS

9.1 There will be risks associated with each of the individual projects and these should be considered as the schemes progress through the decision making process. There is also the risk that the Council is unable to fund all of the schemes in the Capital Programme and therefore public expectations may not be met.

10.0 CLIMATE CHANGE

10.1 Individual schemes could have links to climate change issues. These should be covered in any associated reports and forms linked to these schemes as they progress through the decision making process.

11.0 CONSULTATION

11.1 In preparing the appendix to this report consultation takes place between Project Managers and the Financial Accountant regarding changes to the existing programme and new schemes to be included. In addition, the capital programmes reports are submitted to the Council's Programme Board. The extent to which consultation has been undertaken on individual schemes is set out in the associated project mandates.

12.0 WARDS AFFECTED

12.1 To varying degrees all wards are affected by capital schemes within this Committee.

Contact Officer: Natasha Bailey
Date: 10 October 2016
Appendices: Appendix A - Capital Programme 2016-21
Background Papers: Capital Programme
Programme Board Minutes
Reference: X: C'ttee, Council & Sub-C'ttees /REEA/2016-17/021116/DG - Capital Programme
2016-21